

Steve Walker.

Local Government Phase Two.

Add to **Integrated Planning and Reporting**

Survey Comments.

Page 7 top, (State Government and local government alignment discussion), A stronger partnership between the State Government and local government through the development of IPR documents could result in greater consistency between State and local priorities and enhancing the delivery of both State and local policy and programs.

I worry too this could get too political, through many State Governmental (Agencies) priorities continue to go unfilled across the decades in suburban Perth.

Political parties 'bidding' for *electoral Seats* does not help situation. Sometimes the *local government* tier at ground level is the only tier to voice something over the noise of Federal and State Government publicity machines.

The Advisory Standards published by the Department.

Yes it can be difficult for small non-metropolitan Western Australian regional local governments to not be adequately represented by your 3 standards.

Again the need to separate metro from non-metro local governments.

Online Question 11.

Local governments should conduct their long-term and operational planning in the same way."

Unsupportive DUE to the large differences in metro local governments from eachother in the metro area. We need a framework to push toward future new entities.

Local governments with smaller populations and fewer staff should have fewer rules for how they conduct long-term and operational planning."

Not for metro local governments. No.

Yet ok for this to happen in regional Western Australia local governments.

Online Question 12. Should Integrated Planning and Reporting requirements differ based on any of the following criteria?

Not for metro local governments. Those small Town of's etc, should not be given a easier, lesser requirements.

Yet agree the "Location" factor apply to regional Western Australian local governments FAR from Perth metro.

Online Question 13. "Local governments should determine if they publish measures of success and what these measures should be."

Unsupportive BECAUSE some metro local governments lie, and bend the truth. Therefore they leave 'measures' off, and get too choosy on the ones they include. Further making it difficult to compare across the metro area.

Online Question 14. Local government Integrated Planning and Reporting needs to be conducted at a regional level to influence State Government policy and service delivery."

Though careful on delineating (the shapes of) those "regional areas". Many metro local governments have several 'regional areas' within their boundaries.

Online Question 15. To be notified of a local government's plans and reports (for example, publication of these documents on the local government's website) Published on a (Real) community noticeboards (Not at admin/civic centre), PLUS in the local newspaper.

Online Question 17. Should community engagement requirements be the same for all local governments?

Answered UNSURE because not want to burden small population regional Western Australian local governments.

For some aspects YES should apply across all metro Perth local governments.

Again this was another area that vast difference in what for metro local governments, and what is best for small population regional Western Australian local governments.

Thankyou.

Steve Walker.