

Local Government Reform – Summary of Proposed Reforms

Comments added by

Melville Residents and Ratepayers Association inc.

(MRRA)



Local Government Reform – Consultation on Proposed Reforms

Local government benefits all Western Australians. It is critical that local government works with:

- a culture of openness to innovation and change
- continuous focus on the effective delivery of services
- respectful and constructive policy debate and democratic decision-making
- an environment of transparency and accountability to ensure effective public engagement on important community decisions.

Since first coming to office in 2017, the McGowan Government has already progressed reforms to improve specific aspects of local government performance. This includes new laws that work to improve transparency, cut red tape, and support jobs growth and economic development - ensuring that local government works for the benefit of local communities.

MRRA agree it is critical that local government should apply the above four dot points.

Certainly, since 2017, no demonstratable improvement to local government in the above four dot point areas have been measured nor reported. Available statistical and other measured evidence does however, point to an increased failure of the politically motivated reforms introduced since 2017. Community engagement is markedly reduced; behaviours have shown no measured improvement; the same complaints and disputes have continued unabated.

Despite repeated calls for professional and scientific processes to be followed, this has not occurred. Anecdotal analysis of the new proposed speculative reforms suggests they could only achieve increased cost and further dysfunction?

What baseline "achieved outcome" would define "Local Government" has never been assessed.

MRRA Principles for Local Government Reform

MRRA endorses that the following key principles should be embodied in these WA Local Government Act. Reforms if improvement are to be achieved:

- 1. Extend the General Competence principle to include Council, ratepayers and community;
- 2. Remove existing conflict and opportunity for corrupt behaviours through:
 - a. Rescinding complexity, inconsistency and conflict causing legislation, laws or regulations; and replace those with Model Policy guidance principles;
 - b. Correcting the constitution of Local Government to "Council" with Council having authority to direct an administrating agency (body corporate);
 - c. Functionally define confusing terms such as, but not limited to; interfere, accountability, and community participation; as measured in achieved outcomes;
 - d. Ensuring interpretation and application of enforcement measure and report the Act's. intent:
- 3. Return Local Government to community enfranchisement by mandating Council adoption of Elector General Meeting decisions;
- 4. Introduce respect and support for elected persons and volunteers.
- 5. Promote uniformity of size and scale for urban Local Governments. (Managed by the Electoral Commission):
- 6. Mandate Council determines application and administration of other State Government legislative responsibilities assigned to Local Governments;
- 7. Constitute under protective legislation and fund a peak body for Residents and Ratepayers, to counter long-standing disadvantage legislated bias given to WALGA (a non-community body);
- 8. Legislate for electronic management devices, and community access to electronically stored information, be regularly maintained to contemporaneous standards.

System and Reform Dysfunction

The system dysfunction of the WA Local Government Act. is confirmed through the initiation of such a major reform being repeated in as short a period as 25-years.

The danger of another major reform occurring within the next 25 years is assured by there being no inclusion within this reform process for post introduction, measuring, analysis or rectification of identified failed law prescriptions.

The proposed reforms listed in the following tables had not been cross checked to remove confusion, contradiction, conflict or crossover application of roles, duties, responsibilities or corrupted business structural imperatives. As a result, installation of the proposed reforms will generate a fresh set of complaints, conflicts and disputes.

Flow on discriminatory impacts from proposed reforms have also not been considered nor addressed.

Based on the significant volume of research and consultation undertaken over the past five years, the Minister for Local Government has now announced the most significant package of major reforms to local government in Western Australia since the Local Government Act 1995 was passed more than 25 years ago. The package is based on six major themes:

- 1. Earlier intervention, effective regulation and stronger penalties
- 2. Reducing red tape, increasing consistency and simplicity
- 3. Greater transparency and accountability
- 4. Stronger local democracy and community engagement
- 5. Clear roles and responsibilities
- 6. Improved financial management and reporting.

The suggestion "research" had been undertaken is not quantified in any published reports associated with this reform process. But copying of mistakes made by other jurisdictions has been reported in similarly selective "consultation" recommending biased delivery for predetermined results.

The above "major theme" - 4. Stronger local democracy and community engagement: is not achievable through the below table listed proposed reforms because a good many of the proposed reforms either excise "democracy and community engagement" from existing capability or impose rules so restrictive future community democratic interests are deliberately inhibited.

A large focus on the new reform is oversight and intervention where there are significant problems arising within a local government. The introduction of new intermediate powers for intervention will increase the number of tools available to more quickly address problems and dysfunction within local governments.

This above stated focus given by the DLGSC couldn't express more clearly the unprofessional intent of this reform process to install "big brother" bullying and corrupted interventions in the absence of removing structural systemic flaws creating or initiating dysfunction.

The WA Local Government Act has not been analysed for, and nor does it address means for identifying and rectifying its own inherent internal dysfunction. Management Oversight Risk Tree (MORT) analysis applied to WA local government examples expressly identifies; purported dysfunction in local government cannot exist without underlying dysfunction existing in the laws that create administer and enforce local government in its currently existing form.

The proposed system for early intervention has been developed based on similar legislation in place in other jurisdictions, including Victoria and Queensland.

Copy-cat application without sound risk analysis can only ever import the same dysfunction and cost burdens as achieved within the source jurisdiction. Victoria and Queensland both have ongoing dysfunction taking time cost and resources to manage. Why should WA be adopting a system that contains clear openly expressed and published failings? Instead, it would be far cheaper in time cost and resources if WA were to fix the system and remove the cause!

This will deliver significant benefits for small business, residents and ratepayers, industry, elected members and professionals working in the sector.

Being devoid of objective nor subjective analysis and being provided no qualifying measures this is a purely hypothetical political statement without substance.

Local Government Reforms

These reforms are based on extensive consultation undertaken over the last five years, and have been developed considering:

- The Local Government Review Panel Final Report (mid 2020)
- The City of Perth Inquiry Report (mid 2020)
- Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (DLGSC) consultation on Act Reform (2017-2020)
- The Victorian Local Government Act 2020 and other State Acts
- The Parliament's Select Committee Report into Local Government (late 2020)
- Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) Submissions
- Direct engagement with local governments
- Correspondence and complaints
- Miscellaneous past reports.

These dot point listings expressly confirm bias against community participation and engagement in considerations of this reform process.

Such a failing to provide due and proper consideration to the voice of those over whom these reforms are intended to dictate, is akin to waving a red flag in front of a bull. Through such explicit taunting future complaint and disputation can be assured to continue.

Consultation

Comments on these proposed reforms are invited. Comments can be made against each proposed reform in this document. For details on how to make a submission, please visit www.dlgsc.wa.gov.au/lgactreform.

Contradiction and Inconsistency

Most if not all of the tabulated proposed reforms below, contain contradictions or inconsistencies with at least one or more of the bespoken 6 Major Reform Themes. In the absence of removal of these inconsistencies, dysfunction, complaint, conflict and disputation will be retained as known predefined outcomes.

Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties

Theme 1. Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties		
CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
1.1 Early Intervention Powers This p	proposal increase cost, adds red-tape and disenfranchis	ses community
 The Act provides the means to regulate the conduct of local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to: Suspend or dismiss councils 	 It is proposed to establish a Chief Inspector of Local Government (the Inspector), supported by an Office of the Local Government Inspector (the Inspectorate). The Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members. The Inspector would oversee complaints relating to local government CEOs. 	perception of intent to bully. Without removing the internal dysfunction within the Act. itself, introduction of inspectors and monitors carries with it the potential to extend and exacerbate conflict including as a result of the cost of initiating and maintaining such a police force.
 Appoint Commissioners Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors. 	 Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints. The Inspector would have powers of a standing inquiry, able to investigate and intervene in any local government where potential inquire are identified. 	 With the intent of even the current Act remaining unenforceable, this proposal will add high cost in the absence of any visible cost/benefit improvement.
 The Act also provides the Director General with the power to: Conduct Authorised Inquiries Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal 	 government where potential issues are identified. The Inspector would have the authority to assess, triage, refer, investigate, or close complaints, having regard to various public interest criteria – considering laws such as the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003, the Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984, the Building Act 2011, and other legislation. 	
 Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act. Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively slow response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are currently the only significant tool for addressing significant issues within a local government. 	 The Inspector would have powers to implement minor penalties for less serious breaches of the Act, with an appeal mechanism. The Inspector would also have the power to order a local government to address non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. The Inspector would be supported by a panel of Local Government Monitors (see item 1.2). 	 due diligence in Regulatory Impact Analysis is akin to purchasing conflict. Externally created and applied standards, impositions and penalties are long proven incapable of causing resolution. Their best achievable outcome is the forcing "underground" of disunity. External inspectors and monitors are incapable of measuring "community benefit"
 The Panel Report, City of Perth Inquiry, and the Select 		

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
Committee Report made various recommendations related to the establishment of a specific office for local government oversight.	The existing Local Government Standards Panel would be replaced with a new Conduct Panel (see item 1.3).	
	Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4).	
	These reforms would be supported by new powers to more quickly resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6).	
1.2 Local Government Monitors Thi	s proposal increase cost, adds red-tape and disenfrancl	nises community
 There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for pre-qualified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases. 	 A panel of Local Government Monitors would be established. Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems. The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify blame or collect evidence. Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as: Experienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs - to act as mentors and facilitators 	 This reform is redundant as the existing Act. already provides at Part 5 the ability of Council to establish community sourced monitors and advisors. At most the Part 5 provisions could be mandated rather than their existing voluntary selection. The concept of external inspectors or monitors contradicts and countermands the Ministers' design theme promise for "Stronger local democracy and community engagement" There are few local government districts which do not include qualified specialists willing and
	 Dispute resolution experts - to address the breakdown of professional working relationships Certified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists - to assist with financial management and reporting issues 	 do not include qualified specialists willing and able to join appropriate Part 5 Committees maintaining community benefit focus against the listed suggestions. The following case studies are inappropriate
	Governance specialists and lawyers - to assist councils resolve legal issues	 examples and lend evidence to the inherent failure of the proposal to benefit the community. Advice provided by DLGSC is politically tainted, limited to select parties and devoid of

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	HR and procurement experts - to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction.	ability to measure for community benefit. The reported oversight advisory failings found by the recent Casino Royal commission are evident across the functional performance of
	 Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors. 	the DLGSC
	 Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose. 	Case 1 indicates criminal negligence on the part of
	Monitor Case Study 1 – Financial Management	the Councillors, Council and CEO. Under this scenario there is a systemic failure to
	The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the Local Government Act 1995. Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial management in local government. The	understand the law, regulations and standard accounting practices. To focus solely on the issuing of notices leaves the parties open to potential criminal prosecution.
	Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.	Case 2 identifies the inspector to be acting in bias when in fact the issue is clearly a systemic failure of Council to facilitate informed decision making. Mediation between councillors while preventing the council from undertaking
	Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution	"informed" debate and "informed" decision making, has no ability to deliver resolution to
	The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The inspector identifies that there has been a relationship.	this exampled case. Resolution of the underlying causation factor is the only competent solution available.
	Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council.	common law standards are overridden by imposed legislated politically motivated
	The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working	standards.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	relationship based on the council's code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.	
1.3 Conduct Panel This proposal	supports corruption, encourages dysfunction, adds red	l-tape and disenfranchises community
 The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour. Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed. 	 a new Local Government Conduct Panel. The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication. The Conduct Panel would have powers to impose stronger penalties – potentially including being able to suspend councillors for up to three months, with an appeal mechanism. 	 The Standards panel does not have a single recorded resolved issue to its name despite the number purportedly "closed". Changing the name of the panel cannot and will not make that panel competent. If the panel is not mandated the power to repair the underlying system failure, causing any purported unwelcome behaviour, then no adjudication it makes against any person will have any substantive value other than be identified as a mark of bullying. The Standards Panel has proved itself incapable of delivering the purpose and intent of the Act., is costly, biased and loathed. The proposed concept of an external panel is itself antagonistic to community participation, engagement or benefit.
1.4 Review of Penalties This proposal supports corruption, adds red-tape and disenfranchises community		
There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non-compliance with the Local Government Act.		This proposed reform facilitates biased dysfunction through bullying. Penalty and punitive regimes are only used to override community non-acceptance of the rule enforced. They are capable of corruptly causing great harm without measure in

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	where a councillor breaches the Local Government Act or Regulations on more than one occasion.	community benefit. Penalties are means to purchase acceptance of a harmful non-
	 Councillors who are disqualified would not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances. They will also not be able to attend meetings, or use their official office (such as their title or council email address). 	 compliance in place of any responsible attempt to actually acquire beneficial compliance. Bullies are the fools who thrive on penalty rules. The parties proposing this reform do not
	• It is proposed that a councillor who is suspended multiple times may become disqualified from office.	hold the necessary qualification or knowledge to advise on benefit by punitive regime.
	 Councillors who do not complete mandatory training within a certain timeframe will also not be able to receive sitting fees or allowances. 	 This proposed reform will aggravate disunity, is likely to drive corruption in council and should be limited to causing measure and delivery to community benefit.
	 The suggestion of mandated training implies the existence of an identified but unrepaired known flaw in the legislation which has preventing logical and intelligent understanding from taking precedence. 	Intelligent systems employ encouragements which deliver a far higher success rate.
1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions T	his proposal supports corruption, adds red-tape and dis	senfranchises community
Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding	• It is proposed that Standing Orders are made consistent across Western Australia (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1).	Standing orders should be guided in policy and not prescribed in rigid law. Prescribed standing orders impede open and free debate and drive inefficiency of process.
members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws.	 It is proposed that Presiding Members have the power to "red card" any attendee (including councillors) who unreasonably and repeatedly interrupt council meetings. This power would: Require the Presiding Member to issue a clear first 	The proposed red card reform is a methodology capable of misuse to corrupt full and informed debate and decision making carrying with it potential to exacerbate disputation.
Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings.	warning o If the disruptions continue, the Presiding Member will have the power to "red card" that person, who must be silent for the rest of the meeting. A councillor issued with a red card will still vote, but must not speak or move motions	This proposal disguises an underlying fault in the management system for Council. Placing priority onto covering up a systemic fault by applying a personal penalty control, encourages corruption of power. There are tens of thousands of Boards and Committees

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings.	 If the person continues to be disruptive, the Presiding Member can instruct that they leave the meeting. Any Presiding Member who uses the "red card" or ejection power will be required to notify the Inspector. Where an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector. 	across Australia that function perfectly well without this proposed threat over their constituencies. lending evidence of system fault if it is so critically important to Council.
1.6 Vexatious Complaint Referrals	This proposal creates corruption, adds red-tape, reduces	s accountability and disenfranchises community
 No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings. There are sufficient provisions in the 1995 Act to enable both Councils and CEOs to establish complaint and disputation resolution programs. Poor DLGSC advice and a fault in existing legislation allowed CEOs to close complaints and then ignore complainants prior to and in the absence of making any honest effort to resolve the matters of the complaint despite knowing the matters could be easily and simply resolved. 	 Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local government's operations. Local governments should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious. 	 A prerequisite for CEO and senior staff employment should be dispute resolution skills. This proposal installs a prejudicial bias. At 1.4 Councillors are proposed to be mandated training yet here CEOs are exempted mandated prerequisite skills despite their employment to undertake this role. Vexatious complaint is a fictitious term created to legislate protection of corrupt behaviours and practices while diverting attention from employment of persons unfit for their employed role. Violence is a potential outcome effect from this proposal. This proposed reform contradicts and countermands the Minister's design theme promise for "Stronger local democracy and community engagement"

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
1.6 AAA Conflict and Dispute Resol	1.6 AAA Conflict and Dispute Resolution		
 Current provisions in legislation, regulation and Local Law are excessively complex, uncoordinated and internally contradictory. Historically, current provisions have failed to deliver community or local government benefit while causing huge cost in reputation, resources, disruption and finance. 	 The proposed reforms noted in 1.1 through 1.6 deliver an increased complexity in commitment to "big brother" bullying". The above proposed reforms distract focus from addressing and resolving underlying causation mechanisms inherent in the 1995 Act and its subsequent amendments. Behavioural management science dictates that the above proposals if implemented will cause new and additional complaints and further disputation without removing existing complaints and disputation. 	Adopting the alternate MRRA proposed reforms in this paper will cause the above disputation matters to reduce to minor inconveniences.	
1.7 Minor Other Reforms This propo	osal adds cost, adds red-tape and disenfranchises comm	nunity	
 Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector. 	 Potential other reforms to strengthen guidance for local governments are being considered. For example, one option being considered is the potential use of sector-wide guidance notices. Guidance notices could be published by the Minister or Inspector, to give specific direction for how local governments should meet the requirements of the Local Government Act and Regulations. For instance, the Minister could publish guidance notices to clarify the process for how potential conflicts of interests should be managed. It is also proposed (see item 1.1) that the Inspector has the power to issue notices to individual local governments to require them to rectify non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. 	 Part 5 of the Act already delivers oversight capacities. Without at least the endorsement of a peak representative body for residents and ratepayers, the proposed reform to strengthen guidance of local government contradicts and countermands the Minister's design theme promise for "Stronger local democracy and community engagement" Model Policies and Model Business Management Manuals will deliver cost effective guidance while concurrently enhancing openness and accountability. 	

Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing Consistency and Simplicity

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
2.1 Resource Sharing This proposal	2.1 Resource Sharing This proposal adds red-tape and disenfranchises community		
 The Act does not currently include specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs. Regional local governments would benefit from having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing. 	 Amendments are proposed to encourage and enable local governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior employees. Local governments in bands 2, 3 or 4 would be able to appoint a shared CEO at up to two salary bands above the highest band. For example, a band 3 and a band 4 council sharing a CEO could remunerate to the level of band 1. 	 The General Competence principle of current legislation does not prohibit resource sharing. Specifying such provisions will inhibit effective, innovative and efficient resource allocation and sharing. This is properly a matter for Councils to determine and agree. At most, community developed Model guidelines could be developed. 	
2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers T	his proposal adds cost, adds red-tape and disenfranc	hises community	
 Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences. This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the construction sector. 	 It is proposed to amend the Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 1996 to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads. A Crossover Working Group has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and DLGSC to inform this. The DLGSC will work with the sector to develop standardised design and construction standards. 	 The Minister and DLGSC have already received community developed Model Policy guiding how similar matters should be managed. The noted regulations should be rescinded and replaced with community developed Model Policy and detailed management guidance manuals. 	
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions This proposal adds red-tape and constrains community			
The Local Government Act 1995 currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to	 New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>, for: Short-term trials and pilot projects Urgent responses to emergencies. 	Innovation is constrained because community is disenfranchised from "local government" through local government being legislated as a "body corporate" and not as the Council.	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
(such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket).		Exemptions from the Act would not be required if the Council were legislated to be the local Government with authority to direct the "body corporate" consistent with a board as described by the Corporations Act.
2.4 Streamline Local Laws This prop	oosal weakens democracy drives conflict and disenfra	nchises community
 Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. The review of local laws (especially when they are standard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders. 	 It is proposed that local laws would only need to be reviewed by the local government every 15 years. Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable. Local governments adopting Model Local Laws will have reduced advertising requirements. 	 A law has no community benefit value unless those to whom it is to apply know the law and agree to be bound by it. The current and proposed reforms to local law support and encourage local government corruption. A majority vote of the electors of a district should be required to authorise all local law. Existing and proposed local law reforms contradicts and countermand the Minister's design theme promise for "Stronger local democracy and community engagement"
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small	Business and Community Events This proposal is red	undant when community is enfranchised
Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities.	 Proposed reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for: alfresco and outdoor dining minor small business signage rules running community events. 	 This proposed prescriptive reform contradicts and countermands the Minister's design theme promise for "Stronger local democracy and community engagement" Such matters should be addressed through community developed Model LG Policy and Model LG Business Management manuals and not through restrictive regulatory prescription.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedure	es, Including Public Question Time This proposal adds	red-tape and disenfranchises community
public. Inconsistency among the meeting	 To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State. Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally. Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions. 	 Existing and proposed reform to community access to Council contradicts and countermands the Minister's design theme promise for "Stronger local democracy and community engagement". Councils should hold community information and engagement sessions prior to and independent from ordinary council meetings. Elector general meetings should be held at least quarterly. A resident or ratepayer should have ability to place a motion directly onto the agenda of a Council meeting and Council should be required to consider, debate, and make an informed decision, on that motion just as if it were any other motion. Council should be required to uphold motions passed at an elector general meeting just as a board is required to uphold motions of a shareholders meeting.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.7 Regional Subsidiaries This prop	osal supports corruption, adds cost, adds red-tape an	d disenfranchises community
 Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017. So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed. 	 Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to: Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds Where appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk Ensure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments. 	 Governance and administration are core functions of local government. Delivery of commercial services in monopoly, is not properly a core function of a local government and local government should be encouraged to contract these out to private commercial businesses. Regional-subsidiaries cannot deliver cost or efficiency savings above those of private commercial businesses. This reform proposal corrupts local government purpose by influencing salary paid to CEOs and senior staff.

Theme 3: Greater Transparency & Accountability

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Cou	3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Council Meetings This proposal inhibits informed debate and informed decision making		
 Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings. While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors¹ such as: Growth and development Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community Total expenditure Population Staffing levels. 	 It is proposed that all local governments will be required to record meetings. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audio-visual equipment. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Several local governments already use platforms such as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Vimeo to stream and publish meeting recordings. Limited exceptions would be made for meetings held outside the ordinary council chambers, where audio recordings may be used. Recognising their generally smaller scale, typically smaller operating budget, and potential to be in more remote locations, band 3 and 4 local governments would be required to record and publish audio 	 Public Council meetings are currently problematic for safety of elected members. Potential for identification prevents open debate for fear of becoming a target of persons opposed to the debate presented. In the spirit of the Chatham House rule, Councillors and invited speakers, speaking in Council should be provided the same protection as State Politicians speaking in the State Parliament. Without that protection, full, frank and open debate is not possible. If and when systematic failures to mandatorily comply with section 1.3 (2)&(3) of the Current Act are addressed the method and extent of Council Meeting recording will become redundant. 	

¹ See page 3 of the <u>2018 Salaries and Allowance Tribunal Determination</u>

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minutes T	recordings, at a minimum. These local governments would still be encouraged to livestream or video record meetings. • All council meeting recordings would need to be published at the same time as the meeting minutes. Recordings of all confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving. his proposal supports corruption, and disenf	ranchises Councillors
 A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency. 	making by councillors, it is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors	 Recording individual votes will still not measure transparency of decision making. Failure of the existing Act. to ensure Councillors are able to obtain balanced and sufficient information from which source the Councillor knows they can rely, currently prevents open, frank and accurate debate. Most current Council decisions are intentionally biased, a guess or with inadequate knowledge. This proposal represents a double standard but should reflect Corporations Act standards.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS		
3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that n	3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that may be Confidential This proposal adds cost and is open to potential corruption			
 The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation. 	 Recognising the importance of open and transparent decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific circumstances. It is proposed to make the Act more specific in prescribing items that may be confidential, and items that should remain open to the public. Items not prescribed as being confidential could still be held as confidential items only with the prior written consent of the Inspector. All confidential items would be required to be audio recorded, with those recordings submitted to the DLGSC. 	 Clarifying the definition of "confidential" is supported. However, that should include, time period, personal information and commercial in confidence matters. Discussion at such meetings should be kept open while only the confidential information itself be redacted. Decision on confidentiality should be relegated to a Part 5, Governance committee and not an inspector. Confidential records to be held by the DLGSC is a cost not a benefit. 		
3.4 Additional Online Registers This proposa	I has potential to disenfranchises Councillor	s and community		
 Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online. Consistent online publication of information 	It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included.	The proposals within this part while supported in principle are grossly inadequate for useful comment. Before a mandate for online storage is made, such storage itself needs to be defined for:		
can substitute for certain material in annual reports.Consistency in online reporting across the	The following new registers, each updated quarterly, are proposed:	user friendlinessspeed of accessibility		
sector will provide ratepayers with better information. • These registers supplement the	 Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) 	 accuracy of search function engagement 		
simplification of financial statements in Theme 6.	 Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government 	contact and feedback facilitycommunity blogs		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cashin-lieu for public open space and car parking Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000. 	 visitor metrics security from hacking and spam Local governments should be encouraged to create online fora for community engagement in development of annual reports, implementation plans, community plans and strategic plans.
3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performance This proposal could support corruption, and		
 It is a requirement of the Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties. 	 To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs). 	 KPIs should include mandating the following. Endeavours for better decision-making; Increase in community participation in the decisions; Increase in community participation in the affairs of local governments; Undelivered accountability to communities; Change to efficiency and effective function delivery; Demonstrated of use of best endeavours; Undelivered needs of current and future generations; Integration across environmental protection, social advancement and economic prosperity.

Local Government Reform – Consultation on Proposed Reforms

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		Clarity, consistency, simplicity and freedom from conflict across its laws, regulations, policies and plans.
		 To avoid bias, if the CEO has the right to make comment, then so must the community have a similar right to argue for or against a stated KPI measurement.

Theme 4: Stronger Local Democracy and Community Engagement

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.1 Community and Stakeholder Eng	agement Charters This proposal disenfranchises C	Community
 There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy. Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement for local governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community. A model Charter would be published to assist local governments who wish to adopt a standard form. 	 Unless the "Model Charter is produced by the community, this proposal contradicts and countermands the Ministers' design theme promise for "Stronger local democracy and community engagement" by suggesting such charter would be imposed upon the community Although there is no prescription of engagement between local government and community there is sufficient within the current Act to forcefully argue that failure to hold a charter, policy or management plan for such engagement is a clear deviation from the prescribed intent of the Act and of the Act's provisions generally.
4.2 Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys (Band 1 and 2 local governments only) This proposal supports corruption, and disenfranchises Community		
 Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey. Results would be required to be reported publicly at a council meeting and published on the local government's website. All local governments would be required to publish a response to the results. 	 The proper purpose of a satisfaction survey is to identify areas for improvement. Current surveys are conducted to justify acts of bullying or nonfeasance and do not include an element of community benefit. It would be more accurate to require 'dissatisfaction' surveys to be conducted for the express purpose of measuring improvement to community benefit. Dissatisfaction surveys should be managed by a Part 5 Performance oversight Committee.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.3 Introduction of Preferential Voting	g This proposal is flawed, supports corruption, and	d introduces debatable value
 The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preference. The candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a majority. Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice. 	 Preferential voting is proposed be adopted as the method to replace the current first past the post system in local government elections. In preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferences. Preferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in other states). This provides voters with more choice and control over who they elect. All other states use a form of preferential voting for local government. 	 Given the current election process biases political party or other large vested interest groups, neither method delivers community benefit over the other. Preferential voting is fairer only where compulsory voting is held. A fair election will only occur where private advertising is banned and all candidates rely equally on a templated biography. Electronic voting will enhance engagement with voters.
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and	d President This proposal is flawed, and introduce	es debatable value
The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: by the electors of the district through a public vote; or by the council as a resolution at a council meeting.	 Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communities. Band 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4. Accordingly, it is proposed that the Mayor or President for all band 1 and 2 councils is to be elected through a vote of the electors of the district. Councils in bands 3 and 4 would retain the current system. A number of Band 1 and Band 2 councils have already moved towards Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President in recent years, including City of Stirling and City of Rockingham. 	 The current role of the Mayor is heavily compromised by systemic faults in both the current legislation and proposed reforms. The current legislation nonsensically requires the same leadership be provided by both the Mayor and the Councillors. The CEO while selected by Council, should similarly not be appointed unless endorsed by a majority vote of district electors.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	DRODOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.5 Tiered Limits on the Number of C	ouncillors This proposal has merit but is flawed to	be unnecessarily complex
 The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister. The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness. 	 It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: For a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor). 	 The proposal is unnecessarily complex causing management issues. There is a minimum number of persons who make a viable quorum for democratic decision making. That number should be set as a minimum for all local governments up to a designated population size. Above that population size an additional councillor for each x,000 population or part thereof should be required.
4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Bar	nd 3 and 4 Councils only) This proposal has merit,	is complex and disenfranchises Community
 A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. 	 It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished. Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election. In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small. These wards often have councillors elected unopposed, or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes. There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards. 	 Prescribing who should and should not have wards adds unnecessary complexity to legislation or regulation. Below a set population, the electors should decide as they are the ones who would be required to pay the cost.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
	4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease Requirements for Candidate and Voter Eligibility This proposal has merit but is unnecessarily complex and probably unenforceable, needs extending to include Wards.		
 A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district. A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors. 	"sham leases" in council elections. Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be able to vote or run as a candidate for council.	 The proposal is unnecessarily complex and would prove too difficult to police. A person should only be eligible to be a councillor or to vote while they owned property, remained resident or held a continuing lease within the district. This would include any application period. Where a local government has Wards the above eligibility conditions should apply to that Ward. Sham eligibilities currently enable non-Ward people to hold Councillor positions where they do not reside, own property or lease property. This disenfranchises and discourages local participation. 	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles This	s proposal is flawed, supports corruption, needs e	xtending to include election advertising
Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words.	 Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodated. Longer candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles online. It is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when casting their vote. 	 Candidate profiles should be two A4 pages maximum. Private advertising should be banned. Electronic engagement should be adopted.
4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms Th	is proposal is flawed, needs extending to include	electronic voting and election advertising
Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	 Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: The introduction of standard processes for vote re-counts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required) The introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls. 	 If private advertising is banned electoral rolls cease to be useful. When electronic voting is introduced vote recounting becomes redundant.

Theme 5: Clear Roles and Responsibilities

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
	5.1 Introduce Principles in the Act This proposal has merit but is flawed and limited, supports corruption, needs extending to include Electoral Commission setting of boundaries.		
 The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles 	It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) Community Engagement Financial Management.	 Each Council should have at least one Aboriginal Councillor. Where a Council does not have an Aboriginal person elected as an ordinary Councillor, that Council should be required create an ordinary Councillor position and co-opt an eligible Aboriginal from the district. This position to become redundant on the election of an Aboriginal as an ordinary Councillor. Tiering of local government is a nonsense. The Electoral Commission should be authorised to determine local government boundaries in similar manner to the setting of political seat boundaries. Mandating Sections 1.3 (2)&(3) as KPIs for interpretation of all other provisions of the Act will deliver far more community value than introduce a raft of new principles. And will maintain a greater simplicity. 	
5.2 Greater Role Clarity This propo	 	es, supports corruption, disenfranchises community	
 The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: govern the local government's affairs 		 Clarity is lost through system fault in the Act which creates and drives conflict and confusion between "local government", Council and employed administrators and other parallel legislation. The current Constitution of "local government" carries no corporation structural competence. 	
 be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions. 	legislation.These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input.		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 Parallel legislation already delivers similar descriptions of Board and Committee roles. Including detailed legislated roles here only serves to add unnecessary confusion. It would be far more appropriate 	These roles would be further strengthened through Council Communications Agreements (see item 5.3).	 Communications Agreements are proposed as being necessary because existing faults in the Act prevent normal 'Board-Corporation' communications from taking place. Given the dearth of genuine community consultation to date, there is little or no confidence among community representative bodies that these proposed reforms will deliver community benefiting reform.
to deliver role detail through community developed Model Policy and Model Business Management Manuals. Both which can then reference common practice role descriptors and not be limited to constrictive legislated prescription.	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 	 Parallel legislation already delivers similar descriptions of Board and Committee roles. Including detailed legislated roles here only serves to add unnecessary confusion. This Role description in legislation should be simplified to and not exceed: The signature of the Mayor/President, confirms the majority position of the council. Except where delegated, the Mayor/President: Chairs Council meetings but does not take part in deliberations Directs the CEO with respect to Council decision, policy, standards, positions and resource requirements Represents Council at civic and public ceremonies or events, government meetings, inquiries and negotiations Supports councillors Authorises media release communications on behalf of Council. Undertakes leadership skills development training Any other matters should be described in community developed Model Policy or Model Business Management Manuals.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council is responsible for: Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council Providing a safe working environment for the CEO; Providing strategic direction to the CEO; Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government. 5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act 	 This Role description in legislation should be simplified to and not exceed: The Council: Decides the direction and business of the Local Government (Planning) A Council may not make a decision until all Councillors are satisfied they have enough information to make an unbiased informed decision on that matter Decides the protocols and standards the Local Government will be held to account in its business and engagements (Policy) Decides the hierarchical organisational structure of the local government Oversights performance (Business Delivery) Oversights assurance of complaint and disputation resolution (Governance) Oversights local government, public and workplace health and safety Resources councillors Engages respectfully with Electors. Undertakes debate and decision-making skills training Any other detailed matters should be described in community developed Model Policy or Model Business Management Manuals. Parallel legislation already delivers similar descriptions of Board and Committee roles. Including detailed legislated roles here only serves to add unnecessary confusion. Much of the proposed wording is unmeasurable confusing waffle.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council Applying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council Engaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public Developing and maintaining professional working relationships with all other councillors and the CEO Maintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government Facilitating public engagement with local government. It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or "President") and	 This Role description in legislation should be simplified to and not exceed: Councillors: Must be able to demonstrate the integrity of information they rely on when making "informed" decisions in Council Engage frequently and respectfully with Electors Debate openly with Electors, the views and directions in discussion in Council Present Electors views in Council and not the personal views of the Councillor Be guided by the decisions of Electors at Elector General Meetings Debate openly, local government public and workplace health and safety Undertake relevant law, oversight, negotiation, and representation skills training Should be responsible for reporting to Council, the matters of Part 5 Committees Any other detailed matters should be described in community developed Model Policy or Model Business Management Manuals.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity.	
	 The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council. To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for: Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to enable the council to perform its decision-making functions Facilitating the implementation of council decisions Ensuring functions and decisions lawfully delegated by council are managed prudently on behalf of the council Managing the effective delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government determined by the council 	 Parallel legislation already delivers similar descriptions for CEO - Board relationships. Including detailed legislated roles here only serves to add unnecessary confusion. Much of the proposed wording is unmeasurable confusing waffle devoid of sound business management structure. This Role description in legislation should be simplified to and not exceed: CEO: Takes direction from the Mayor/President in respect to Council decisions, policy, standards, positions and resource requirements Facilitates Councillors access to unbiased, verifiable information and expert advice necessary for "informed" decision making Manages employment Oversights contract/subcontract delivery Manages implementation of Council endorsed plans and other business decisions Coordinates, facilitates and resources the establishment, maintenance and business of Part 5 community committees Facilitates management of, local government public and workplace health and safety Facilitates compliance with laws applicable too or applied by the local government and its officers Any other detailed matters should be described in community developed Model Policy or Model Business Management Manuals.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Providing timely and accurate information and advice to all councillors in line with the Council Communications Agreement (see item 5.3) Overseeing the compliance of the operations of the local government with State and Federal legislation on behalf of the council Implementing and maintaining systems to enable effective planning, management, and reporting on behalf of the council. 	
5.3 Council Communication Agree potential to support corruption, di		ated standards, supports faults in structure and principles,
The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions.	Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided. It is proposed that local governments will need to have Council Communications	 Conflict arises under the current Act because of built in business structure and authority failures. Communications Agreements of themselves, do not have any inherent capacity to prevent conflict. Resolving those failures using above noted methods makes Communication Agreements redundant.
The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments.	Agreements between the council and the CEO. These Council Communication Agreements would clearly specify the information that is to be provided to councillors, how it will be provided, and the timeframes for when it will be provided. A template would be published by DLGSC. This default template will come into force if a council and CEO do not make a specific	 It is hypocritical for DLGSC to be formulating petty templates when they do not recognise the value of community developed Model Policy and Model Business Management Manuals.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS		
	other agreement within a certain timeframe following any election.			
	5.4 Local Governments May Pay Superannuation Contributions for Elected Members This proposal supports disadvantage, adds cost and disenfranchises community			
 Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils. 5.5 Local Governments May Esta community 	 should be able to decide, through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. Allowing council to provide superannuation is important part of encouraging equality for people represented on council – particularly for women and younger people. Providing superannuation to councillors recognises that the commitment to elected office can reduce a person's opportunity to undertake employment and earn superannuation contributions. 	 have superannuation and are not entitled to receive superannuation, to be treated in equity? Long term financial security should not be a consideration as that would require long term incumbency which prevents equitable community access to elected positions. A conscientious candidate will commit similar amounts of time to community service as does an elected Councillor. The proposed reform arguments apply equally to candidates, so the proposal is introducing inequitable discriminatory practice. 		
Local government elected members must complete mandatory training.	Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education	elected persons, while delivering no measurable benefit nor		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education.	 that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members. Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors. 	 measurable change from pre implementation circumstances. Mandated training delivers cost without benefit and is open to corruption of purpose. The types of qualification education being proposed could only exist where Community engagement is being strangled to facilitate long term incumbency. If education expenses are to be given to elected persons, then to be equitable education allowances must be also be given to person who were candidates at an election. A conscientious candidate will commit similar amounts of time to community service as does an elected Councillor. The proposed reform arguments apply equally to candidates, so the proposed reform introduces inequitable discriminatory practice.
5.6 Standardised Election Caretak	er period This proposal has merit but by its I	imitations, impedes the legislated role of Council
 There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of 	 A statewide caretaker period for local governments is proposed. All local governments across the State would have the same clearly defined election period, during which: Councils do not make major decisions 	Although a mandated caretaker period is advisable for corruption reduction, the proposed reform is manifestly inadequate as it does not take into consideration legislated requirements during ending and commencing terms of Council.
public confusion.	with criteria to be developed defining 'major'	 Prior to an election a caretaker period should, for consistency, reflect State and Commonwealth restriction.
	 Incumbent councillors who nominate for re-election are not to represent the 	Post-election a mandated caretaker period should be enacted to enable an unconstrained review:
	local government, act on behalf of the council, or use local government resources to support campaigning activities.	 and constitution of Part 5 committees and endorsement of Policy and Local laws of performance and governance of any plans for the following year

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 There are consistent election conduct rules for all candidates. 	There should be a mandated limit of two consecutive terms for any elected office
5.7 Remove WALGA from the Act	This proposal has partial merit, supports dis	criminatory bias corruption, disenfranchises community
 The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation. 	recommended that WALGA not be constituted under the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> .	 WALGA cannot be seen as a non-government agency while it carries any preferential mention in the Act. Given that WALGA has historically represented the commercial side of local government under a legislated discriminatory bias preference; inequity will remain until such time as a balancing Resident and Ratepayer peak body is constituted, funded and resourced to the same degree. WALGA is a classic example of practices given legislated protection to purposefully obstruct community participation in the decisions and affairs of local governments.
5.8 CEO Recruitment This proposa	al supports faults in structure and principles,	supports corruption, disenfranchises community
 Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government. 	 It is proposed that DLGSC establishes a panel of approved panel members to perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list. Councils will still be able to appoint people outside of the panel with the approval of the Inspector. 	 This proposed reform is a thinly veiled attempt to increase departmental employment without delivering benefit to government or community. Part 5 Committees are available for this purpose and failing to support their use for this purpose is a further intent to prevent community participation in the decisions and affairs of local government.

Theme 6: Improved Financial Management and Reporting

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS		
6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Financial Reporting This proposal adds complexity, supports faults in structure and principles, reduces accountability, disenfranchises community				
 The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information. 	 The Minister strongly believes in transparency and accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government. It is critically important that clear information about the financial position of local governments is openly available to ratepayers. Financial information also supports community decision-making about local government services and projects. Local governments differ significantly in the complexity of their operations. Smaller local governments generally have much less operating complexity than larger local governments. The Office of the Auditor General has identified opportunities to improve financial reporting, to make statements clearer, and reduce unnecessary complexity. Recognising the difference in the complexity of smaller and larger local governments, it is proposed that financial reporting requirements should be tiered – meaning that larger local governments will have greater financial reporting requirements than smaller local governments. It is proposed to establish standard templates for Annual Financial Statements for band 1 	 Once again excessive complexity is proposed. It would be far simpler to mandate that local government finances and registers be electronically managed and secure password access by residents and ratepayers be provided. Subject, of course, to commercial in confidence and personal information being excluded from access. Reporting should be linked to annual turnover. Linking to local government size is inefficient and does not cater to changes in financial values. Electronic financial management has efficiency and resource benefits by facilitating business accounting linkages. The existing legislative provision for Part 5 Committees delivers capacity to provide best oversight and efficiency in financial management and reporting. 		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 and 2 councils, and simpler, clearer financial statements for band 3 and 4. Online Registers, updated quarterly (see item 3.4), would provide faster and greater transparency than current annual reports. Standard templates will be published for use by local governments. Simpler Strategic and Financial Planning (item 6.2) would also improve the budgeting process. 	
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Planning community	This proposal supports faults in structure and	principles, supports corruption, disenfranchises
 Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments. 	 Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making. The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public. In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers. Local governments would be required to adopt a standard set of plans, and there will be templates published by the DLGSC for use or adaption by local governments. It is proposed that the plans that are required 	 It is not possible to have "clear" information about the "finances of local government" when the outcomes attributable to that finance are not measured. That product measure being benefit to community. These item 6.2 proposals will generate conflict and complaint as they legislate benefit to employed and commercial interests while further extending disenfranchising of community from participation in the decisions and affairs of local governments. of Deliverance measurable benefit to communities has been excluded from these proposals. Despite the IPR guidelines requiring a partnering of local government and community, that partnering is not measured nor reported to the community. DLGSC list 25 resource documents identifying the complexity of local government IPR.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Simplified Council Plans that replace existing Strategic Community Plans and set high-level objectives, with a new plan required at least every eight years. These will be short-form plans, with a template available from the DLGSC Simplified Asset Management Plans to consistently forecast costs of maintaining the local government's assets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local governments should update the plan regularly if the local government gains or disposes of major assets (e.g. land, buildings, or roads). A template will be provided, and methods of valuations will be simplified to reduce red tape Simplified Long Term Financial Plans will outline any long term financial management and sustainability issues, and any investments and debts. A template will be provided, and these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years A new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) – providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years) The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services or 	given legislated protection to purposefully obstruct community participation in the decisions and affairs of local governments. In doing so These proposed reforms will generate complaint and disputation without delivering benefit to the community. The existing legislative provision for Part 5 Committees delivers capacity to provide greatest integration and efficiency in financial management and planning.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans added to the yearly budget if approved by council. This provides clear transparency for what the functions and initiatives of the local government cost to deliver. Templates will be available for use by local governments.	
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy This proposal	supports faults in structure and principles, supp	ports corruption, disenfranchises community
 Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policy. Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise rates to cover unavoidable costs – especially for the repair of infrastructure. 	 The Rates and Revenue Policy is proposed to increase transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure. A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services. The Policy would need to reflect the Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Financial Plan (see item 6.2), providing a forecast of what rates would need to be, to cover unavoidable costs. A template would be published for use or adaption by all local governments. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 Again, the proposed reforms offer an inequitable "bits and pieces" policy in place of comprehensive, wholistic community developed Model Policy. Current Rates and Revenue collections are forcibly imposed upon the community to the exclusion of competition. Competition should be mandated to reduce unfair rates imposts. Existing local government rates and revenue collection legislation conflicts with National Competition Policy Guidelines through dictating monopolistic business practice establishment with associated autocratic fee designation. In the interest of fairness any proposed Rates and Revenue Policy must be endorsed by a majority vote of ratepayers if it is to minimize aggravation and disputation.

6.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card Statements This proposal has merit but supports faults in structure and principles, supports corruption, disenfranchises community

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds. 	 The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis. This provides oversight of incidental local government spending. 	This proposal is easily covered by community developed Model Financial Management Policy without the need for additional legislation.
6.5 Amended Financial Ratios This proposal	disenfranchises community	
•	 Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC. The methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful. 	To hold substantive value, this proposal must be understandable to all interested community members.
6.6 Audit Committees This proposal disenfrat	nchises community	
 Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management. 	 proposed the Chair of any Audit Committee be required to be an independent person who is not on council or an employee of the local government. Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive risk management. 	Such committees should be Part 5 Committees established by and responsible for reporting to Council.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 Reforms would allow local governments to provide loans to third parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. Limits and checks and balances would be established to ensure that financial risks are proactively managed. 	 Overhead power lines are a major public safety issue. Conversion to underground reticulation is usually charged to householders. This discriminates a double standard on residents with underground power and those with overhead wires. Associate cost from likely disputation is far more an issue than financial risk. Fairness, public safety, community access and residual community benefit hold far greater value to risk management. Incentive through rate discounting offers would be fairer.
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on disenfranchises community	Rates Notices This proposal supports faults in	structure and principles, reduces accountability,
 No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service). This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers. 	Waste services by local governments is a selective service and not core business. Local government management of waste services is uncompetitive, inefficient and environmentally damaging far beyond reasonable control or management by local government.
		 Competitive large-scale contractors and State sponsored environmentally sensitive treatments should be pursued to remove this from local government functions.
		Just as household can choose gas and power suppliers so should households be free to choose waste collection suppliers.