Local Government

: City of Albany

CURRENT PROVISIONS PROPOSED REFORMS WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS COMMENTS COMMENT COMMENT

Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties

1.1 Early Intervention Powers

- The Act provides the means to regulate the conduct of local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to:
 - Suspend or dismiss councils
 - o Appoint Commissioners
 - Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors.
- The Act also provides the Director General with the power to:
 - Conduct Authorised Inquiries
 - Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State

 Administrative Tribunal
 - Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act.
- Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively slow response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are currently the only significant tool for addressing significant issues within a local government.
- The Panel Report, City of Perth Inquiry, and the Select Committee Report made various recommendations related to the establishment of a specific office for local government oversight.

1.2 Local Government Monitors

- There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors.
- The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for prequalified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases.

- It is proposed to establish a Chief Inspector of Local Government (the Inspector), supported by an Office of the Local Government Inspector (the Inspectorate).
- The Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members.
- The Inspector would oversee complaints relating to local government CEOs.
- Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints.
- The Inspector would have powers of a standing inquiry, able to investigate and intervene in any local government where potential issues are identified.
- The Inspector would have the authority to assess, triage, refer, investigate, or close complaints, having regard to various public interest criteria – considering laws such as the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003, the Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984, the Building Act 2011, and other legislation.
- The Inspector would have powers to implement minor penalties for less serious breaches of the Act, with an appeal mechanism.
- The Inspector would also have the power to order a local government to address non-compliance with the Act or Regulations.
- The Inspector would be supported by a panel of Local Government Monitors (see item 1.2).
- The existing Local Government Standards Panel would be replaced with a new Conduct Panel (see item 1.3).
- Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4).
- These reforms would be supported by new powers to more quickly resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6).

Current Local Government Position

Items 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 <u>generally align</u> with WALGA Advocacy Position 2.6.8 - 'Establish Office of Independent Assessor'

The Local Government sector supports:

- Establishing an Office of the Independent Assessor to replace the Standards Panel to provide an independent body to receive investigate and assess complaints against Elected Members and undertake inquiries.
- 2. Remove the CEO from being involved in processing complaints.
- That an early intervention framework of monitoring to support Local Governments be provided with any associated costs to be the responsibility of the State Government.
- An external oversight model for local level behavioural complaints made under Council Member, Committee Member and Candidate Codes of Conduct, that is closely aligned to the Victorian Councillor Complaints Framework.

Comment: The Local Government sector is in favour of early intervention and a swift response to potentially disruptive or dysfunctional behaviours. The Proposed Reforms state 'Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints' and therefore do not go as far as the Sector's recent request for an external oversight model for the independent assessment of local level complaints (State Council Res: 264.5/2021 – September 2021). However, this will be mitigated with the Inspector able to respond to a Local Government having unresolved matters by appointing a monitor to assist the Local Government.

It is expected the Local Government Inspector would be funded by the State Government, however it is noted that the cost of the Local Government Monitors and the Conduct Panel would be borne by the Local Government concerned.

WALGA Recommendation

- 1. Support the proposed reforms, noting items 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 generally align with WALGA Advocacy Position 2.6.8
 'Establish Office of Independent Assessor'
- Request the Minister to explore alternate mechanisms for resolving local level complaints.

Administration:

Support WALGA position. Not supportive of local governments remaining responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints.

WALGA Position:

- Support the proposed reforms, noting items 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 generally align with WALGA Advocacy Position 2.6.8
 'Establish Office of Independent Assessor'
- As above, support,

Councillor Submission:

Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C.

Administration:

Support WALGA position noting a local government could request Inspector intervention directly.

Support, noting it is proposed that local governments be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose.

A panel of Local Government Monitors would be established.

- Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems.
- The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify blame or collect evidence.
- Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as:
 - Experienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs to act as mentors and facilitators
 - Dispute resolution experts to address the breakdown of professional working relationships
 - Certified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists to assist with financial management and reporting issues
 - o Governance specialists and lawyers to assist councils resolve legal issues
 - HR and procurement experts to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction.
- Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors.
- Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose.

Monitor Case Study 1 - Financial Management

The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the *Local Government Act 1995*. Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial

As above

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
1.3 Conduct Panel	management in local government. The Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers. Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council. The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working relationship based on the council's code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.		
The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour. Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed.	 Conduct Panel. The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication. The Conduct Panel would have powers to impose stronger penalties – potentially including being able to suspend councillors for up to three months, with an appeal mechanism. For very serious or repeated breaches of the Local Government Act, the Conduct Panel would have the power to recommend prosecution through the courts. Any person who is subject to a complaint before the Conduct Panel would have the right to address the Conduct Panel before the Panel makes a decision. 	As above	WALGA Position: Support the proposed reforms, noting items 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 generally align with WALGA Advocacy Position 2.6.8 - 'Establish Office of Independent Assessor' As above, support, Administration: Supportive of proposal that will allow local governments to be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for specific purposes.
1.4 Review of Penalties			
		Current Local Government Position Items 1.4 and 1.5 expand upon Advocacy Position 2.6.9 - 'Stand Down Proposal' WALGA supports, in principle, a proposal for an individual elected member to be 'stood down' from their duties when they are under investigation, have been charged, or when their continued presence prevents Council from properly discharging its functions or affects the Council's reputation, subject to further policy development work being undertaken. Further policy development of the Stand Down Provisions must involve active consultation with WALGA and specific consideration of the following issues of concern to the Sector: 1. That the Department of Local Government endeavour to ensure established principles of natural justice and procedural fairness are embodied in all aspects of the proposed Stand Down Provisions; and 2. That activities associated with the term 'disruptive behaviour', presented as reason to stand down a defined Elected Member on the basis their continued presence may make a Council unworkable, are thoroughly examined and clearly identified to ensure there is awareness, consistency and opportunity for avoidance. Comment The Local Government sector has long-standing advocacy positions supporting stronger penalties as a deterrent to disruptive Council Member behaviours. Clear guidance will be required to ensure there is consistent application of the power given to Presiding Members. Recommendation	WALGA Position: • Supported. Administration: Supportive of proposal noting that any person, who is subject to a compliant before the panel, has the right to address the panel.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
		Supported	
1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions			WALGA Position:
different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. • Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. • Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings.	 (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1). It is proposed that Presiding Members have the power to "red card" any attendee (including councillors) who unreasonably and repeatedly interrupt council meetings. This power would: Require the Presiding Member to issue a clear first warning If the disruptions continue, the Presiding Member will have the power to "red card" that person, who must be silent for the rest of the meeting. A councillor issued with a red card will still vote, but must not speak or move motions If the person continues to be disruptive, the Presiding Member can instruct that they leave the meeting. Any Presiding Member who uses the "red card" or ejection power will be required to notify the Inspector. Where an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector. 		Supported. Councillor Submission: Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C. Administration: Comment 1: Supported, but clarification of the following proposed content is required, noting potential cause and effect to fulfilling councillor voting obligations: "Where an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector." Comment 2: Fully support the standardisation of Standing Orders (Meeting Procedures) across the state. I am concerned that the power to issue a "red card" to councillors may present unintended consequences, such as inhibiting free speech and full participation. Concur, with concern raised by Comment 1, noting, if a council member is present at a meeting, they have a duty to vote on all matters before the meeting, unless they have a financial interest in the matter.
No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings.	 Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local government's operations. Local governments should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious. 	Current Local Government Position Item 1.6 expands upon Advocacy Position 2.6.11 – 'Vexatious complainants in relation to FOI applications' WALGA advocates for the Freedom of Information Act 1992 (WA) to be reviewed, including consideration of: 1. Enabling the Information Commissioner to declare vexatious applicants similar to the provisions of section 114 of the Right to Information Act 2009 (QLD); 2. Enabling an agency to recover reasonable costs incurred through the processing of a Freedom of Information access application where the application is subsequently withdrawn; and 3. Modernisation to address the use of electronic communications and information. Comment The Act has been expanded significantly in recent years to permit an increased level of public involvement, scrutiny and access to information relating to the decisions, operations and affairs of Local Government in WA. Introducing a means to limit capacity for unreasonable complainants to negatively impact Local Governments will provide a necessary balance between the openness and transparency of the sector and the reasonable entitlement of citizens to interact with their Local Government. Recommendation	WALGA Position: • Item 1.6 expands upon Advocacy Position 2.6.11 – 'Vexatious complainants in relation to FOI applications'. • Supported. Councillor Submission: Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C. Administration: Comment 1: Supported. Comment 2: Fully support referral of complaints that are deemed vexatious; however with the proviso that the Council are to be advised of such notifications.
1.7 Minor Other Reforms		Supported	
Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of	 Potential other reforms to strengthen guidance for local governments are being considered. 	Current Local Government Position Item 1.7 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6 - 'Support DLGSC as	WALGA Position: • Supported.

 Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector. guidance notices. Guidance to guidance notices of the Local Government Act guidance notices to clarify be managed. It is also proposed (see ite 	n being considered is the potential use of sector-wide ce notices could be published by the Minister or Inspector, or how local governments should meet the requirements of t and Regulations. For instance, the Minister could publish the process for how potential conflicts of interests should	service provider / capacity builder' WALGA supports the continuance of the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries as a direct service provider	Administration:
Act or Regulations.	em 1.1) that the Inspector has the power to issue notices ments to require them to rectify non-compliance with the	of compliance and recommend the Department fund its capacity building role through the utilisation of third party service providers. In addition, WALGA calls on the State Government to ensure there is proper resourcing of the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries to conduct timely inquiries and interventions when instigated under the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995. Comment Operational guidance from the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries leads to consistent understanding and application of statutory provisions by Local Government. The proposed reform that the Inspector issue non-compliance notices appears to replicate the Minister's powers under Section 9.14A – 'Notice to prevent continuing contravention' Recommendation	Comment 1: Supported. Comment 2: Support that the Inspector has the power to issue notices to individual local governments; however, it is hoped that self-reporting is promoted and encouraged.
		Supported	
Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing Consistency and	nd Simplicity		
Resource Sharing The Act does not currently include	ed to encourage and enable local governments, especially	Current Local Government Position	WALGA Position:
specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs. Regional local governments would sharing to two salary bands above	vernments, to share resources, including Chief Executive	Item 2.1 <u>aligns</u> with Advocacy Position 2.6 – Local Government Legislation – 'Avoid red tape and 'de-clutter' the extensive regulatory regime that underpins the Local Government Act' and Advocacy Position 2.3.1 - 'Regional Collaboration'. Local Governments should be empowered to form single and joint subsidiaries, and beneficial enterprises. In addition, compliance requirements of Regional Councils should be reviewed and reduced. Comment The proposed reforms will rely upon statutory provisions that enable and enhance regional collaboration. Recent over-regulation of Regional Subsidiaries in 2016 resulted in no subsidiaries being formed since that time. Recommendation • Supported	Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supportive. Needs an incentive and those Local Governments that share a CEO should be rewarded, not just the CEO. Comment; 2: Supportive, but with caveat. The function of the CEO is prescribed in the Act and they are ultimately responsible to each individual Council. Where the function of the CEO is shared across a number of local governments, what happens when one of the local government is found to require "oversight and/or intervention"?
2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers		оприли	
crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very *Regulations 1996 to stand properties and residential properties and residential properties and residential properties and residential properties.	and the Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions) lardise the process for approving crossovers for residential developments on local roads. Outper has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and with the sector to develop standardised design and	Current Local Government Position Comment WALGA developed the Template Crossover Guideline and Specification resource in 2017 and have been part of the Minister's working group on red tape reduction that has been looking at standardisation of crossovers. Recommendation • Supported	WALGA Position: • Supported. Administration: Comments: Supported.
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions			
 The Local Government Act 1995 currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to (such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket). New provisions are propositions are propositions are propositions. Local Government Act 1995 in Local Government Act 1995 in	pilot projects	Current Local Government Position There is currently no advocacy position in relation to Item 2.3. Comment It is arguable communities expect all levels of Government will apply innovative solutions to complex and emerging issues difficult to resolve by traditional means. Exemptions constructed with appropriate checks and balances, particularly where expenditure of public funds are concerned, has potential to facilitate efficient and effective outcomes. Recommendation	WALGA Position:

		• Supported	emergencies need clarification on who is the responsible agency, State or Local Government. Comment 2: Supportive if the definition of "Emergency", noting an emergency is generally accepted an urgent, sudden, and serious event or an unforeseen change in circumstances that necessitates immediate action to remedy harm or avert imminent danger to life, health, or property; an exigency. The local government sector is unprepared for landslide (landslip) events. Landslides can happen without warning and are often triggered by heavy rain, earthquakes and, in some cases, human activity. Every Local Government Area in Australia has landslide risk issues of one form or another within the footprint of their area of responsibility. The extent of landslide hazards, their nature and their likelihood, will of course vary from place to place. WESTPLAN - COLLAPSE, states that local government's role is to assist the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) at collapse emergencies with advice and resources to deal with the emergency and coordination of community recovery at a local level. Local governments do not currently have this capability, noting local government are responsible for the coordination of community recovery
			emergency is generally accepted an urgent, sudden, and serious event or an unforeseen change in circumstances that necessitates immediate action to remedy harm or avert imminent danger to life, health, or property; an exigency. The local government sector is unprepared for landslide (landslip) events. Landslides can happen without warning and are often triggered by heavy rain, earthquakes and, in some cases, human activity. Every Local Government Area in Australia has landslide risk issues of one form or another within the footprint of their area of responsibility. The extent of landslide hazards, their nature and their likelihood, will of course vary from place to place. WESTPLAN – COLLAPSE, states that local government's role is to assist the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) at collapse emergencies with advice and resources to deal with the emergency and coordination of community recovery at a local level. Local governments do not currently have this capability, noting local
			events. Landslides can happen without warning and are often triggered by heavy rain, earthquakes and, in some cases, human activity. Every Local Government Area in Australia has landslide risk issues of one form or another within the footprint of their area of responsibility. The extent of landslide hazards, their nature and their likelihood, will of course vary from place to place. WESTPLAN — COLLAPSE, states that local government's role is to assist the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) at collapse emergencies with advice and resources to deal with the emergency and coordination of community recovery at a local level. Local governments do not currently have this capability, noting local
			heavy rain, earthquakes and, in some cases, human activity. Every Local Government Area in Australia has landslide risk issues of one form or another within the footprint of their area of responsibility. The extent of landslide hazards, their nature and their likelihood, will of course vary from place to place. WESTPLAN – COLLAPSE, states that local government's role is to assist the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) at collapse emergencies with advice and resources to deal with the emergency and coordination of community recovery at a local level. Local governments do not currently have this capability, noting local
			one form or another within the footprint of their area of responsibility. The extent of landslide hazards, their nature and their likelihood, will of course vary from place to place. WESTPLAN – COLLAPSE, states that local government's role is to assist the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) at collapse emergencies with advice and resources to deal with the emergency and coordination of community recovery at a local level. Local governments do not currently have this capability, noting local
			course vary from place to place. WESTPLAN – COLLAPSE, states that local government's role is to assist the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) at collapse emergencies with advice and resources to deal with the emergency and coordination of community recovery at a local level. Local governments do not currently have this capability, noting local
			assist the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) at collapse emergencies with advice and resources to deal with the emergency and coordination of community recovery at a local level. Local governments do not currently have this capability, noting local
2.4 Streamline Local Laws			at the local level.
	ed that local laws would only need to be reviewed by the local government	Current Local Government Position	WALGA Position:
every eight years. every 15 year		Items 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 expand upon Advocacy Position 2.6.35 - 'Local	Supported.
the terrent of feeth family	not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be illy removed and no longer applicable.	law-making process should be simplified'. The Local Law making process should be simplified as follows:	
	ernments adopting Model Local Laws will have reduced advertising	The requirement to give state-wide notice should be reviewed, with	Councillor Submission:
Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business requirements		consideration given to Local Governments only being required to provide local public notice;	Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C.
stakeholders.		Eliminate the requirement to consult on local laws when a model is	Administration:
		 Consider deleting the requirement to review local laws periodically. 	Comment 1: Supported.
		Local Governments, by administering local laws, will determine when it is necessary to amend or revoke a local law; and	Comment 2: Supported, noting inconsistency between local laws create frustration for residents and businesses.
		 Introduce certification of local laws by a legal practitioner in place of scrutiny by Parliament's Delegated Legislation Committee. 	However, the use of the term "local", when applied to "Model Local Law" should be reviewed.
		Comment Proposed reforms meet the Sector's preference for simplified local law-	The impact of federal and state mandates on local governments should be explored. if modification of the local law is not allowed.
		making processes. Model local laws are supported, whilst recognising the models themselves will require review by State Government	For example:
		departments with the relevant head of power. For example, the Model Local Law (Standing Orders) 1998 formed the basis of many Local	The costs associated with meeting the requirement of federal and/or state mandates will vary from community to community depending on
		Government meeting procedures local laws but no review was completed. This model was superseded by individual local laws with added contemporary provisions. This pattern will repeat itself if model local laws are not reviewed to remain contemporary to the Soctor's	the local situation. Even in the best case scenario where mandates are paid for, ultimately local government must carry out the programs.
		local laws are not reviewed to remain contemporary to the Sector's requirements.	
		Recommendation	
		Supported	
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small Business and Commun	•		WALCA Danisiana
	reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for:	As above	WALGA Position: • Supported.
	small business signage rules		
businesses is frustrating for business o running	g community events.		Administration:
and local communities. 2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedures, Including Public Que	usetian Time		Comment: Supported.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
 Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local laws. The Local Government Act 1995 and regulations require local governments to allocate time at meetings for questions from the public. Inconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints. 	procedures for meetings generally.	As above	WALGA Position:
 Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017. So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed. 	 Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to: Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds Where appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk Ensure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments. 	Current Local Government Position Item 2.7 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.3.1 - 'Regional Collaboration' Local Governments should be empowered to form single and joint subsidiaries, and beneficial enterprises. In addition, compliance requirements of Regional Councils should be reviewed and reduced. Comment Under the Regional Subsidiary model, two or more Local Governments are able to establish a regional subsidiary to undertake a shared service function on behalf of its constituent Local Governments. The model provides increased flexibility when compared to the Regional Local Government model because regional subsidiaries are primarily governed and regulated by a charter rather than legislation. While the regional subsidiary model's governance structure is primarily representative, the model also allows independent and commercially focussed directors to be appointed to the board of management. A key advantage of the regional subsidiary model is the use of a charter, as opposed to legislation, as the primary governance and regulatory instrument. Accordingly, the legislative provisions governing	WALGA Position: Supported. Administration: Comment: Supported, with a clearly defined framework.

Theme 3: Greater Transparency & Accountability

3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Council Meetings

- Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings.
- While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings.
- Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments.
- Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors¹ such as:
 - o Growth and development

- Currently, local governments are only It is proposed that all local governments will be required to record meetings.
 - Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives.
 - Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audio-visual equipment.
 - Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives.
 - Several local governments already use platforms such as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Vimeo to stream and publish meeting recordings.
 - Limited exceptions would be made for meetings held outside the ordinary council chambers, where audio recordings may be used.
 - Recognising their generally smaller scale, typically smaller operating budget, and
 potential to be in more remote locations, band 3 and 4 local governments would be
 required to record and publish audio recordings, at a minimum. These local
 governments would still be encouraged to livestream or video record meetings.
 - All council meeting recordings would need to be published at the same time as the meeting minutes. Recordings of all confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving.

Current Local Government Position

Item 3.1 <u>expands upon</u> Advocacy Position 2.6 – '*Promote a size and scale compliance regime*' and Advocacy Position 2.6.31 - 'Attendance at Council Meetings by Technology'

of the regulation to the regional subsidiary charter, which can be adapted to suit the specific circumstances of each regional subsidiary.

A review of the ability of Elected Members to log into Council meetings should be undertaken.

Comment

Recommendation
• Supported

Local Governments introducing electronic meeting procedures and the means for remote public attendance in response to the COVID-19 pandemic led to a swift uptake of streaming Council meetings.

The proposed reform that Band 1 and 2 Local Governments will only be problematic where technical capability such as reliable bandwidth impact the district.

Recommendation

Supported

WALGA Position:

Supported.

Councillor Submission:

Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C.

Administration:

Comment: There are a number of pros and cons in regards that should be explored, for example:

- Increased transparency is a positive, however risks included the potential for the stream to detract from robust debate and the liability implications for council in regards to defamation proceedings.
- It should be within Council's power to determine whether it wishes to record and/or live stream Council meetings by either audio or visual (or both).

Ultimately is the debate between elected members that is important; which leads to the final decision.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
 Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community Total expenditure Population Staffing levels. 3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minute			
A local government is only required to	To support the transparency of decision-making by councillors, it is proposed that	Current Local Government Position	WALGA Position:
record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. • The existing provision does not	 the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber. Regulations would prescribe how votes are to be consistently minuted. 	There is currently no advocacy position in relation to Item 3.2. Comment There is an evolving common practice that Council Minutes record the vote of each Council Member present at a meeting.	Supported. Administration: Comment: Support.
mandate transparency.		Recommendation	
3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items th	at may be Confidential	Supported	
The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may		Current Local Government Position	WALGA Position:
be discussed as a confidential item.	used in limited, specific circumstances.	There is currently no advocacy position in relation to Item 3.3.	Supported.
 There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation. 	 It is proposed to make the Act more specific in prescribing items that may be confidential, and items that should remain open to the public. Items not prescribed as being confidential could still be held as confidential items only with the prior written consent of the Inspector. 	Comment Clarifying the provisions of the Act has broad support within the sector. New reforms requiring Local Governments to video or audio record	Administration: Comment: Supported.
	 All confidential items would be required to be audio recorded, with those recordings submitted to the DLGSC. 	Council meetings (Item 3.1) will add to the formal record of proceedings that includes written Minutes. While being supported, the requirement to provide audio recordings of confidential matters to the DLGSC is queried on the basis that written and audio records can be readily accessed from a Local Government if required.	
		Recommendation	
		Supported	
3.4 Additional Online Registers Local governments are required to	It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online	Current Local Government Position	WALGA Position:
provide information to the community through annual reports, council	registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included.	There is currently no advocacy position in relation to Item 3.4.	Supported.
minutes and the publication of		Comment	Administration:
Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain	 Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the 	This proposal follows recent Act amendments that ensure a range of information is published on Local Government websites. WALGA has sought clarity that the contracts register excludes contracts of	Comment 1: Supported. Comment 2: The following registers are currently published on the
material in annual reports.	local government o Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected	employment.	City's website:
 Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of formation. 	members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-in-lieu for public open space and car parking Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000.	Recommendation • Supported	 Register of Delegations & Authorisations Register of Disclosures (Financial, Proximity & Impartiality Interests) Register of Elected Member Mandatory Training
simplification of financial statements in Theme 6.			 Register of Electoral Register of fees & allowances paid to elected members Register of Gifts (includes travel & hospitality) Register of Primary & Annual Returns Register of Tenders 2021
			Source: https://www.albany.wa.gov.au/documents/publications Comment 2:
			Lease register. Commercial terms of leases, debate as to whether or not commercial terms of a lease should be made public? Contracts Register – why the \$100k threshold? Already a requirement under 17 of the LG Functions & General Regs to
			publish Tenders register on website (our tenders have \$250k threshold per purchasing policy).

3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) be Published

- It is a requirement of the Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually.
- The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria.
- Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties.

- To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs:
 - Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period)
 - The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period)
 - The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs).

Current Local Government Position

There is currently no advocacy position in relation to Item 3.5.

Comment

In principle, this proposal has some merit and would be particularly effective if all CEO KPIs consistently reflect Strategic Community Plans and Corporate Business Plans of Local Governments, together with KPIs reflective of the CEO's statutory functions under Section 5.41 of the Act. This approach would inform the community of the CEO's performance related to the strategic direction and operational function of the Local Government.

In practice, the drafting of statutory provisions will require sensitive consideration of certain KPIs i.e. those relating to issues affecting the workplace or identified risk-based concerns, to reflect the way Audit Committees currently deal with some internal control, risk and legislative compliance issues confidentially. This approach will protect the interests of Local Governments and other parties associated with such KPIs. It would be prudent for exemptions to be provided, based on matters of confidentiality.

The proposed reforms and recent Act amendments signal a clear intent to permit closer community involvement and scrutiny of Local Government. However, negative consequences are likely if Local Government Council's responsibility as the employing authority of the CEO became blurred due to perceived community entitlement to comment, question and influence KPIs and the performance review process.

Additionally, the publication of CEO KPI's will elevate this employment position to a high degree of public scrutiny seldom evident in the public or private sector, if at all. It is worth investigating whether the proposed reforms considered whether this factor could impact on the recruitment of CEO's, particularly from outside the Local Government sector.

The results of performance reviews should be confidential information between the employer and employee and should not be published and should remain within the confidential human resource records of the organisation.

Recommendation

- Conditionally Support the reporting of CEO KPIs that are consistent with the strategic direction and operational function of the Local Government, subject to exemptions for publishing KPI's of a confidential nature;
- Do not support the results of performance reviews being published.

WALGA Position:

Recommendation

 Conditionally Support the reporting of CEO KPIs that are consistent with the strategic direction and operational function of the Local Government, subject to exemptions for publishing KPI's of a confidential nature;

replace the current Tenders Register and more contracts in

Do not support the results of performance reviews being published.

Administration:

Comment: Fully agree with WALGA's recommendation.

Theme 4: Stronger Local Democracy and Community Engagement

4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement Charters

- There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy.
- Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community.
- Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters.
- It is proposed to introduce a requirement for local governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community.
- A model Charter would be published to assist local governments who wish to adopt a standard form.

Current Local Government Position

Items 4.1 and 4.2 <u>generally align</u> with Advocacy Position 2.6.34 - 'Support responsive, aspirational and innovative community engagement principles'

The Local Government sector supports:

- Responsive, aspirational and innovative community engagement principles
- 2. Encapsulation of aims and principles in a community engagement policy, and
- The option of hosting an Annual Community Meeting to present on past performance and outline future prospects and plans.

WALGA Position:

Supported.

Administration:

Comment 1: Supported, noting the City of Albany has already adopted a policy position, through the endorsement of the Communications & Engagement Strategy.

https://www.albany.wa.gov.au/council/have-your-say/community-engagement.aspx

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER
		Comment As indicted in Item 4.1 commentary, many Local Governments have already developed stakeholder engagement charters, or similar engagement strategies, that reflect their unique communities of interest. The development of guidance by the DLGSC, based on standards such as the International Standard for Public Participation practice, is supported in favour of taking a prescriptive approach or conducting a survey for the sake of a survey. Item 4.2 has potential to provide benchmarking of community satisfaction levels across Band 1 and 2 Local Governments. Recommendation	COMMENT Comment 2: Fully agree with WALGA's recommendation.
100-1	4 4011	Supported	
Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments.	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey. 	As above	WALGA Position: • As above, Supported. Administration: Comment: Support, recommend survey is conducted every two years.
The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preference. The candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a majority. Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice.	 Preferential voting is proposed be adopted as the method to replace the current first past the post system in local government elections. In preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferences. Preferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in other states). This provides voters with more choice and control over who they elect. All other states use a form of preferential voting for local government. 	Current Local Government Position Item 4.3 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.5.1 – 'First Past the Post voting system' The Local Government sector supports: 1. Four year terms with a two year spill 2. Greater participation in Local Government elections 3. The option to hold elections through: • Online voting • Postal voting, and • In-person voting 4. Voting at Local Government elections to be voluntary 5. The first past the post method of counting votes Comment It should be noted that the sector's advocacy against compulsory voting and "All in All out" 4 year terms has been successful and these items are not included in the reform proposals. The introduction of preferential voting will be a return to the system of voting prior to the Local Government Act 1995. The Local Government Advisory Board reported on voting systems in 2006 ("Local Government Structural Reform in Western Australia: Ensuring the Future Sustainability of Communities") and provided the following comments in support of both first past the post voting and preferential voting: 'Comments in support of retaining first past the post include: • Quick to count. Preferential voting is time consuming to count. • Easily understood. • Removes politics out of campaigning. Preferential will encourage alliances formed for the distribution of preferences and party politics into local government. • Preferential voting allows election rigging through alliances or 'dummy' candidates. • In a preferential system, the person that receives the highest number of first preference votes does not necessarily get elected.' 'Comments in support of replacing first past the post include:	Not currently supported - Local Government feedback requested Councillor Submission: Councillor Traill, submission attachment 00B. Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C. Administration: Comment 1: Not supported, not broken. Comment 2: Ultimately, up to Council to consider. My view, based on previous trial: The First Past the Post (FPP) voting system should remain (if it is not broken, why fix it): Noting other states, is there a desire for the State to encourage and facilitate increased party politics in local government elections. This is based on the following reasoning: An FPP election is easily understood by electors and easier to administer (i.e. counted more quickly)! Preferential voting encourages alliances to be formed for the distribution of preferences, and facilitates increased party politics in local government elections, an FPP election removes or minimises the 'politics' in election campaigns; Under preferential voting the election process can be manipulated through the use of alliances or 'dummy' candidates, an FPP election minimises this risk.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
		of confusion. Preferential voting ensures that the most popular candidates are elected who best reflect the will of the voters. Preferential system should be introduced. In FPP elections, candidates work together to get votes for each other. Preferential would make it more difficult for this practice to take place. FPP does not adequately reflect the wishes of electors when there are three candidates or more. FPP is unsuitable when there is more than one vacancy. Allows for a greater representation from a range of interest groups and prevents domination of elections by mainstream party politics.' The Sector supports first past the post voting for its simplicity and fundamental apolitical nature, therefore the proposed reforms are not supported. Feedback is sought to ensure the advocacy position for first past the post elections remains the preferred option. Recommendation	COMMENT
		 Not currently supported - Local Government feedback requested 	
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and Pre	esident	Toquosiou	
The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: by the electors of the district through a public vote; or by the council as a resolution at a council meeting.	 Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communities. Band 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4. 	Current Local Government Position Item 4.4 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.5.2 - 'Election of Mayors and Presidents be at the discretion of Local Government.' Local Governments should determine whether their Mayor or President will be elected by the Council or elected by the community. Comment There are 43 Band 1 and 2 Local Governments with 22 popularly electing the Mayor or President: Band 1 - 15 Band 2 - 7 The remaining 21 Local Governments have a Council-elected Mayor or President. The cited examples of the City of Rockingham and City of Stirling electors determining by referendum to change the process for electing the Mayor are examples of the current system working as intended. There is no evidence of elector support for uniform direct election of Mayors. Recommendation Not currently supported - Local Government feedback requested	Not currently supported - Local Government feedback requested Councillor Submission: Councillor Thomson submission at attachment 00C. Administration: Comment 1: Supported, based on our current system. Comment 2: Community decision to consider. Noting:
4.5 Tiered Limits on the Number of Counc			
 The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister. The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve 	 It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: For a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the 	Current Local Government Position Item 4.5 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.5.1 – 'Councils consist of between six and 15 (including the Mayor/President)' Local Governments being enabled to determine the number of Elected Members required on the Council between six and 15 (including the Mayor/President) Comment	WALGA Position: Recommend 5 to 7 Council Members for populations up to 5,000 and support the remaining proposed reforms. Councillor Submission: Councillor Goode, submission, attachment 00A.
representativeness.	Mayor/President) o population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor).	The proposed reform to restrict Local Governments with populations under 5,000 to 5 Council Members does not reflect the varied communities of interest within this grouping. Some Local Governments are essentially regional centres such as	Councillor Traill, submission attachment 00B. Administration:
		the Shires of Katanning (9), Dandaragan (9), Merredin (9), Moora (9) and Northampton (9) (current Councillor numbers bracketed). Local Governments such as the Shire of Ngaanyatjarraku (9) manage substantial land areas, manage isolated communities such as the Shire of Meekatharra (7) and culturally diverse communities such as the Shire of Christmas Island (9).	Comment 1: No position. Comment 2: In terms of area, Western Australia is home to both the biggest LGA (East Pilbara at 372,307 km2) and the smallest LGA (Peppermint Grove at just over one km2).

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
		Some Local Governments with populations up to 5,000 warrant a greater number of Councillors to effectively share the representative role that Council Members play within their communities. The additional proposed reforms in population categories over 5,000 generally reflect the current Councillor numbers. Recommendation • Recommend 5 to 7 Council Members for populations up	In terms of population, the largest LGA is Brisbane (Qld) at over 1.2 million people. The smallest is Maralinga Tjarutja (SA) with 64 people. https://mycouncil.wa.gov.au/Council/CompareAllCouncil
		to 5,000 and support the remaining proposed reforms.	
4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Band 3 a	••		
 A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. 	 It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished. Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election. In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small. These wards often have councillors elected unopposed, or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes. There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards. 	Current Local Government Position There are no advocacy positions in relation to Items 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 or 4.9. Comment The proposed reform to discontinue wards in Band 3 and 4 Local Governments brings alignment with the majority and provides that affected Local Governments will no longer have to conduct 8 year ward reviews or make representation to the Local Government Advisory Board to revert to a no wards system. Remaining proposed reforms will improve and clarify election processes. Recommendation • Supported	 Supported, noting there are no advocacy positions in relation to Items 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 or 4.9. Administration: Comment 1: No position. Comment 2: Council resolved in March 2015, Resolution CSF153: THAT: Based on the response from the community, Council retain the six ward system with 12 elected representatives. Ward Boundaries be adjusted to provide an equitable and fair representation for each ward (As per Officer's Report-Submission D-Retaining the suburb of Redmond in the West Ward). The Mayor is elected by a popular vote of the community as indicated by the community response. This was acknowledged by the board on 26 March 2015.
			The next review will be due in 2023.
4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease Require	• ,		
 A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district. A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors. 	 Reforms are proposed to prevent the use of "sham leases" in council elections. Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be able to vote or run as a candidate for council. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified sham leases as an issue. Electoral rules are proposed to be strengthened: A minimum lease period of 12 months will be required for anyone to register a person to vote or run for council. Home based businesses will not be eligible to register a person to vote or run for council, because any residents are already the eligible voter(s) for that address. Clarifying the minimum criteria for leases eligible to register a person to vote or run for council. The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered business (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases. The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors. 	As above	 WALGA Position: Supported, noting there are no advocacy positions in relation to Items 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 or 4.9. Administration: Comment 1: No position. Comment 2: Supported.
4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles			
Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words.	accommodated.	As above	WALGA Position: Supported, noting there are no advocacy positions in relation to Items 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 or 4.9. Councillor Submission: Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C. Administration: Comment 1: No position. Comment 2: Supported.
4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms			

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: The introduction of standard processes for vote re-counts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required) The introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls.	As above	WALGA Position: Supported, noting there are no advocacy positions in relation to Items 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 or 4.9. Administration: Comment 1: No position. Comment 2: Supported.
Theme 5: Clear Roles and Respo	nsibilities		Sommeric 2. Supported.
 The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles 	 It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) Community Engagement Financial Management. 	Current Local Government Position Item 5.1 generally aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6 - Legislative Intent: Provide flexible, principles-based legislative framework. Recommendation • Supported	WALGA Position:
5.2 Greater Role Clarity			
 The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: govern the local government's affairs be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions. 	responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. • It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation.	Current Local Government Position Item 5.2 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.36 - 'Roles and Responsibilities' That clarification of roles and responsibilities for Mayors/ Presidents, Councillors and CEOs be reviewed to ensure that there is no ambiguity. Recommendation • Supported	WALGA Position:
	 5.2.1 - Mayor or President Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 	As above	Supported. Administration: Comment: Supported.
	 5.2.2 - Council Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council is responsible for: Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council Providing a safe working environment for the CEO; Providing strategic direction to the CEO; Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government. 	As above	WALGA Position: Supported. Administration: Comment: Supported.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
	 5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council Applying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council Engaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public Developing and maintaining professional working relationships with all other councillors and the CEO Maintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government Facilitating public engagement with local government. It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or "President") and associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity. 	As above	WALGA Position: Supported. Administration: Comment: Supported.
	 5.2.4 - CEO Role The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council. To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for: Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to enable the council to perform its decision-making functions Facilitating the implementation of council decisions Ensuring functions and decisions lawfully delegated by council are managed prudently on behalf of the council Managing the effective delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government determined by the council Providing timely and accurate information and advice to all councillors in line with the Council Communications Agreement (see item 5.3) Overseeing the compliance of the operations of the local government with State and Federal legislation on behalf of the council Implementing and maintaining systems to enable effective planning, management, and reporting on behalf of the council. 	As above	WALGA Position:
 The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions. The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments. 	In State Government, there are written Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided.	Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.3. Comment The availability of information not already in the public domain to Councillors under Section 5.92 of the Act can become contentious in the absence of a clear statement in support of the function the Council Member is performing. This can place CEO's in the invidious position of ruling on the availability of a record of the Local Government, when it is also their function under Section 5.41(h) of the Act to 'ensure that records and documents of the local government are properly kept for the purposes of this Act and any other written law'. Consistent availability of information motivates this proposed reform and it does not appear that individual Council Communication	WALGA Position: • Noting there is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.3 • Support a consistent, regulated Communications Agreement. Administration: Comment: Supported.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
		Agreements will be a means to that end. There is a better case for a uniform approach in the form of a regulated Agreement, in much the same way that the Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies are based on provisions of the <i>Public Sector Management Act 1994</i> .	COMMENT
		Recommendation	
		 Support a consistent, regulated Communications Agreement. 	
5.4 Local Governments May Pay Superani	nuation Contributions for Elected Members		
 Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender 	 council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. 	Comment WALGA was in the process of consulting with the sector when this reform was announced. The feedback to date from Local Governments varied. The proposed discretionary approach will permit Local Governments to exercise general competence powers to make their own determination on paying superannuation to Council Members.	 WALGA Position: Noting there is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5. Support a consistent, regulated Communications Agreement. Councillor Submission: Councillor Thomson submission attachment 00C. Administration: Comment: No position.
and age diverse people on councils.		Recommendation • Supported	
5.5 Local Governments May Establish Edu	ucation Allowances		
Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education.		Current Local Government Position Item 5.5 generally aligns with Advocacy Position 2.8 - Elected Member Training Support Local Governments being required to establish an Elected Member Training Policy to encourage training and include budgetary provision of funding for Elected Members; Comment The proposal augments recent Act amendments that require Local Governments to adopt a professional development policy for Council Members. Many Local Governments now budget for training requirements that align with the policy statement. Recommendation • Supported	Noting Item 5.5 generally aligns with Advocacy Position 2.8 - Elected Member Training. Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supported, and the City promotes professional development. Comment 2: The City has a training budget in place for elected members and a policy position. Policy Position: https://www.albany.wa.gov.au/documents/427/elected-member-professional-development-and-training-policy Training Register: https://www.albany.wa.gov.au/documents/1433/register-of-elected-member-mandatory-training

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER
 There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of public confusion. 	 A statewide caretaker period for local governments is proposed. All local governments across the State would have the same clearly defined election period, during which: Councils do not make major decisions with criteria to be developed defining 'major' Incumbent councillors who nominate for re-election are not to represent the local government, act on behalf of the council, or use local government resources to support campaigning activities. There are consistent election conduct rules for all candidates. 	Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.6 Comment WALGA developed a template Caretaker Policy in 2017 on request for a consistent approach. There are no know instances where Caretaker Policy have led to unforeseen or unmanageable consequences impacting on decision-making functions. Recommendation • Supported	WALGA Position: • There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.6 • Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supported. Comment 2: The City has an established care-taker period policy position: Policy Position: https://www.albany.wa.gov.au/documents/428/election-caretaker-period-policy
5.7 Remove WALGA from the Act			
The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation.	The Local Government Panel Report recommended that WALGA not be constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. Separating WALGA out of the Act will provide clarity that WALGA is not a State Government entity.	Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.7. Comment WALGA is conducting its own due diligence on this proposal, previously identified in the Local Government Review Panel Report. The outcome of this reform would require a transition of WALGA from a body constituted under the Act to an incorporated association. It is important to the Local Government sector that the provisions relating to the mutual self-insurance scheme and tender exempt prequalified supply panels remain in the Act and are not affected by this proposal. Further work is being carried out by WALGA to fully understand the effect this proposal will have on WALGA and the sector. Recommendation • WALGA to undertake its due diligence on this proposal and advise the sector accordingly.	** There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.7 ** WALGA to undertake its due diligence on this proposal and advise the sector accordingly. Administration: Comment 1: No position. Comment 2: http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol_act/lqa1995182/s9.58.ht ml WALGA provides advocacy services and advice to the LG sector and fees for service, not limited to: Insurance: In the early 1990's there was widespread dissatisfaction from local governments across Western Australia with the traditional insurance market. Many had difficulty obtaining cover, others were insured in a variety of ways, such as directly with underwriters or through brokerage firms. This prompted WALGA to review and explore the options available to the sector. From that review came a vision for a new approach where local governments could work together to take control of the cost of risk. That vision became a reality in 1995 with the commencement of the Mutual Liability Scheme and the WorkCare workers compensation Scheme. Procurement: * WALGA can undertake a procurement process on local government's behalf on a fee for service basis. * This can be an Expression of Interest, Request for Tender or a Request for Quote. * The Procurement Service can be engaged for all of a process, or the planning phase up to and including developing the Tender.
5.8 CEO Recruitment			

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government.	 perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list. 	Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.8. Comment The proposed reform augments the CEO Standards in relation to recruitment introduced in February 2021. Recommendation • Supported	WALGA Position: • There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.8 • Supported. Administration: Comment 1: No position. Comment 2: Supported.
Theme 6: Improved Financial N 6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiere			
 The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information. 	 The Minister strongly believes in transparency and accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government. It is critically important that clear information about the financial position of local governments is openly available to ratepayers. Financial information also supports community decision-making about local government services and projects. Local governments differ significantly in the complexity of their operations. Smaller local governments generally have much less operating complexity than larger local governments. The Office of the Auditor General has identified opportunities to improve financial reporting, to make statements clearer, and reduce unnecessary complexity. Recognising the difference in the complexity of smaller and larger local governments, it is proposed that financial reporting requirements should be tiered – meaning that larger local governments will have greater financial reporting 	amount of detail required to be included in annual financial reports, in particular for small and medium sized entities as suggested by the Office of Auditor General. Comment The Sector has a long-standing position for a broad review of the financial management and reporting provisions of the Act, which remain largely unchanged since commencing in 1996.	WALGA Position:
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Plant			WW 0.5 W
 Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments. 	 of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making. The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public. In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers. 	As above	Items 6.1 and 6.2 generally align with Advocacy Position 2.6 – Support a size and scale compliance regime and Advocacy Position 2.6.24 – Financial Management and Procurement. Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supported. Comment 2: Supported; however, what is currently used to rate local government's "Financial Health", needs to be reviewed and refined. This can be demonstrated through the use of the 'MyCouncil' initiative; which was proposed by the State Government to strengthen local government accountability and performance. Link: https://www.mycouncil.wa.gov.au/ It is hoped that financial ratio benchmarks are tiered. Regional local governments administer regional assets and deliver services on behalf of their region, such as the Albany Regional Airport.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
	value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) – providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years) The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services or initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans added to the yearly budget if approved by council. This provides clear transparency for what the functions and initiatives of the local government cost to deliver. Templates will be available for use by local governments.		It may be a worthy exercise to explore a fee for service model for delivering such services. Comment 3: Supported, however future revenue and rates information is incorporated in the Long Term Financial Plan, which isn't mandatory to be made public. If LTFP to be made public, no requirement for Rates and Revenue Policy? Interested to see what this template is, because if it is just a financial projection of future rates/revenue, no requirement and instead publish/endorse the LTFP? Agree with Comment 2, rating exemptions in Act to be reviewed.
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy			
Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policy. Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise rates to cover unavoidable costs – especially for the repair of infrastructure.	 by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure. A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services. 	Current Local Government Position Item 6.3 generally aligns with Advocacy Position 2.1.6 - Rate Setting and WALGA's Rate Setting Policy Statement.	Item 6.3 generally aligns with Advocacy Position 2.1.6 - Rate Setting and WALGA's Rate Setting Policy Statement Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supported. Comment 2: Supported. Currently local governments have autonomy in the way they set rates in the dollar to make up the budget deficiency with some limitations. What is needed is a review of current rating exemptions, noting not all land owns are required to pay rates. Other than land used or held by the Crown (State Government) for a public purpose, a local government or a regional local government, exemptions from rates apply to: Land used or held exclusively for churches (religious bodies) Land used or held exclusively for schools Land used exclusively for charitable purposes Land vested in trustees for agriculture or horticultural show purposes Land owned by Co-operative Bulk Handling Limited (CBH) Land exempted by the Minister for Local Government. Noting the DLGSC discussion paper relevant to rates, fees and charges. Link:https://www.dlgsc.wa.gov.au/docs/default-source/local-
			government/local-government-act-review/rates-fees-and-charges/rates-
6.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card State	tements		fees-and-charges-summary-discussion-paper.pdf?sfvrsn=5407d25b 2
 No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds. 	The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis.	Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 6.4. Comment This proposed reform reflects widespread common practice for credit card transactions to be included in monthly financial reports and lists of accounts paid. Recommendation • Supported	* There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 6.4 * Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supported transparency and note comments of Comment 3 below. Comment 2: The City has an approved administrative policy position. This policy applies to any Council Officers issued and involved in the development, implementation, reconciliation and approval of Corporate Credit Cards. The purpose of this policy is to ensure effective controls, policies and
			procedures are in place with respect to use of Corporate Credit Cards. The objective of this policy is to:
		I and the second	• Fulfill all statutory requirements of the Local Covernment As

Fulfill all statutory requirements of the Local Government Act

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT with respect to the use of Corporate Credit Cards. • Adopt best practice in developing a clear and comprehensive policy on the use of Corporate Credit Cards. • Reduce the risk of fraud and misuse of the corporate credit card. Comment 3: I would rather support the disclosure of credit card transactions, and not the statements themselves. Opportunity for fraud if publishing credit card statement information.
6.5 Amended Financial Ratios			
 Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statements. These are reported on the MyCouncil website. These ratios are intended to provide an indication of the financial health of every local government. 	 Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC. The methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful. 	Current Local Government Position Item 6.5 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.25 - Review and reduce financial ratios. Advocate to the Minister for Local Government to amend the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 to prescribe the following ratios: a. Operating Surplus Ratio, b. Net Financial Liabilities Ratio, c. Debt Service Coverage Ratio, and d. Current Ratio. Recommendation Supported	 Item 6.5 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.25 - Review and reduce financial ratios. Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supported, with separation of regional vs metro. Comment 2: Supported, refer to previous comment detailed at: 6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Planning. Comment 3: Supported, and potentially looking at reviewing the benchmarking and having these aligned to tiers, or regional vs metro, etc.
6.6 Audit Committees			
 Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management. 	shared Regional Audit Committees.	Current Local Government Position Item 6.6 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.2.4 – Accountability and Audit That audit committees of Local Government, led and overseen by the Council, have a clearly defined role with an Elected Member majority and chair. Comment The Sector's view is well established, that the Council must maintain, and be seen by the community to have, majority involvement and investment in the purpose of an Audit Committee. There is sector support for some independent members on the Audit Committee, however not a majority. The dual effect of the proposed reform is to guarantee a place for a majority of independent persons on Audit Committees, with the additional requirement that an independent person Chair this Committee. Presently, not all Local Government Audit Committees are able to include an independent person. This may be for a variety of reasons not least of which is a lack of suitable, available candidates with the required qualification, skill and experience. It would be counter-productive if the proposed reforms led to the appointment of unsuitable independent persons to a skills-based role. The concept of Regional Audit Committees has apparent merit in this case but there is no detail regarding practicalities; for example, is the Regional Audit Committee intended to include the same independent persons who will meet separately with each Local Government within the region?	 Do not support majority independent members of the Audit Committee Support Audit Committees of Local Government with an Elected Member majority including independent members, and to consider proactive risk management issues. Administration: Comment 1: Support WALGA position with Chair position being a decision of the Local Government Committee. Comment 2: Ultimately as the governing body, it is recommended that Council propose a policy position. The City's current Audit & Risk Committee provides an advisory service to a regional capital city council, which deals with significant risk issues comparable to large commercial organisations. The remuneration paid to independent members should reflect the size and risk profile of the organisation and the skills and expertise of the members. It is recommended that Salary & Allowance Tribunal prescribe the remuneration of the Chair and independent members.

There is too little certainty that the imperative question of appropriate representation will be managed as a consequence of the proposed reforms for it to be supported.

The proposal for the Audit Committees to also consider proactive risk management is supported.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	WALGA POSITION & COMMENTS	CITY OF ALBANY OFFICER COMMENT
		 Do not support majority independent members of the Audit Committee Support Audit Committees of Local Government with an Elected Member majority including independent members, and to consider proactive risk management issues. 	
6.7 Building Upgrade Finance			
 The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures.	Current Local Government Position Item 6.7 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.26 - Building Upgrade Finance. The Local Government Act 1995 should be amended to enable a Building Upgrade Finance mechanism in Western Australia. Comment Building Upgrade Finance would enable Local Governments to guarantee finance for building upgrades for non-residential property owners. In addition to building upgrades to achieve environmental outcomes, Local Governments have identified an opportunity to use this approach to finance general upgrades to increase the commercial appeal of buildings for potential tenants. In this way, BUF is viewed as means to encourage economic investment to meet the challenges of a soft commercial lease market and achieve economic growth. Recommendation Supported	 WALGA Position: Item 6.7 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.26 - Building Upgrade. Supported. Councillor Submission: Councillor Traill, submission attachment 00B. Administration: Comment 1: Not supported, see comments below: Comment 2: I would strongly disagree with 6.7 Building finance.
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on Rates Notices			
 No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this 	 It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service). This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers. 	Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 6.8. Comment This proposed reform will require a relatively simple calculation, Recommendation	WALGA Position: • Supported. Administration: Comment 1: Supported.
recommendation.		Supported	

From: Councillor Alison Goode

Sent: Wednesday, 8 December 2021 12:15 PM

To: Andrew Sharpe

Subject: Local govt reform

Hi Andrew,

These are my comments regarding councillor numbers determined by population.

If you think this is relevant, could you pass it on to Stuart.

Councillor numbers determined by population.

The proposal to determine the number of elected members in local governments in WA based solely on population is both inequitable and flawed.

The proposal states that local governments in WA with less than a population of 75 thousand must cut elected member numbers to no more than 8 plus a popularly elected Mayor.

The "one size fits all "proposal raises more questions than it provides answers.

The glaring anomaly in this proposal would have to be the Shire of Peppermint Grove.

Peppermint Grove covers an area of 1.1 k and has a population of less than 2000 (based on 2016 census) currently has a Shire president and 6 councillors. Under this Policy, will the Shire of a Peppermint Grove be entitled to increase elected members to 8 councillors and a mayor?

There are many local governments in the metropolitan area managing relatively small areas and populations.

When determining numbers of elected members it is important to compare like with like and to take into account the composition of individual WA local Governments.

For example, the City of Albany covers an area of approximately 4300 square kilometres and has close to 40 thousand residents. The city covers tree plantations and various forms of broad acre farming in the east, to beef, sheep, vegetables, dairies and piggeries in the west and includes a large residential and commercial area.

The city manages 1600 k of roads with 800 k unsealed. The city also manages 150 k of coastline.

The city of Albany cannot fairly be instructed to cut numbers of elected members when compared with, for example, the town of Claremont, which covers approximately 5.5 k and has a population of around 11.thousand (based on 2016 census)) Each local government needs to be assessed on its composition and not the "one size fits all "methods currently proposed.

It is after all ,about adequate representation .

Sent from my iPad

Councillor Alison Goode / West Ward

Postal Address: PO BOX 484, Albany, WA, 6331 Street Address: 102 North Road, Yakamia

From: Councillor Malcolm Traill

Sent: Monday, 24 January 2022 12:19 PM Subject: Re: Local Government Reform

Please find attached my thoughts on the Local Government reform paper. I hope these can be added into the general City submission.

Comments regarding Local Government Reform proposals.

- 1. Rather than go through every one of the 44 sections of the proposed reforms, I prefer to concentrate on those that may affect the City of Albany specifically.
- 2. In general I support the views of the CEO and Executive Directors. They have the experience and the foresight to see how these reforms may affect our operations and policies.
- 3. In principle, I support the basic tenets of the reform agenda. Confidence in some local governments changes over time, depending on the composition of the Council and the commitment and experience of the workforce. Anything that will increase the confidence of ratepayers and residents in their Council is a good thing.
- 4. Connection between the community and their local government is also under threat in some municipalities. Any way to increase this connection would be welcomed.

In particular, may I add the following thoughts on four items to those of others.

1. Item 2.3

I support better definitions of natural emergencies. I am not sure what other natural emergencies are not covered under DFES legislation but it needs clarification.

2. Item 4.3

While preferential voting is the preferred method of electing state and federal governments in Australia, it is suited to the party political model and is definitely not appropriate for local governments of many different shapes and sizes.

Preferential voting encourages alliances and preference deals that have just been legislated out of the Upper House election process by the government that suggests this be introduced into local government elections.

The other difference is that voting at federal and state level is compulsory, but local government voting is voluntary. Any reform that complicates the voting process will result in a lower voter turnout that would reduce community engagement.

Just because other states favour preferential voting should not mean that WA should follow suit. Many problems in big city Councils in other states could have been avoided by removing party politics from local government.

3. **Item 4.5**

If the number of Councillors is reduced for the City of Albany, this reduces the democracy and transparency aspects of Council. It also increases the workload of Councillors, thus potentially reducing the pool of available candidates. This will especially affect those from the younger demographic and from minority groups, as they simply will not be able to afford the time.

This goes against the aim of the theme of Stronger Local Democracy and Local Engagement.

This would also have ramifications for the current ward system which is up for review next year. If the number of Councillors is reduced, the workload (especially in the rural areas of the City) will increase.

It is clear that a reduction in the number of Councillors will cost the LGA less in allowances which could be an attractive option.

4. Item 6.7

I would support looking at this option in some more detail.

Often, ratepayers who wish to upgrade their properties are not eligible for bank loans (due to age, income, ability to repay) so an alternative mechanism to improve their properties could be a possibility.

I agree that it could be subject to fraud and deception but it would be up to tight regulations to ensure that this risk be minimised.

Councillor Malcolm Traill / Frederickstown Ward

City of Albany

From: Chris Thomson, Albany, Western Australia

Sent: Wednesday, 26 January 2022 1:12 AM

Subject: Proposed changes to Local Government Act - feedback

Here's my views on the planned changes to Local Government Act, against the summary of proposed changes provided in the table:

1.2 Local government monitors:

- a. Suggest former elected members not be eligible as monitors. How will a former mayor or president be judged as 'respected' and by whom?
- b. Case study 2 in this section is disturbing as the dispute mediation process proposed is overly bureaucratic. Why should one elected member be able to be dragged into lengthy mediation with another on hearsay? Such disagreements can and should be addressed through recourse to an independent standards body or to the law. Establishing a third option with which a potentially vexatious or misguided complainant might forum shop is dangerous.

1.5 Red cards

- a. Fully support harmonisation of standing orders across the state.
- b. Fully support the publication of video recordings of all meetings open to the public.
- c. The initial focus of the proposed red card provision appears to be meeting attendees (including councillors but not explicitly mayors or presidents). The focus then sharply shifts to councillors only. The proposed change should apply to all elected members (including mayors or presidents in instances when they are in attendance but not chairing a meeting), as well as to any other attendee at the meeting.

1.6 Vexatious complaints:

a. Unclear from the table provided what happens to a vexatious complainant. Can they be banned from certain activities (as per vexatious litigants' register in the WA court system)? I suggest they should be.

2.4 Streamline local laws

a. 15-year reviews are far too long, especially in the rapidly changing world in which we now live, and given that between four and five terms of Council would elapse before laws were necessarily reviewed, which is not good from an accountability perspective. Every four years (in line with the length of Council terms) would be more reasonable.

3.1 Recording of meetings:

- a. Do not support the live-streaming of public meetings, as I believe all participants (including interested news media) should at least demonstrate the conviction and investment in the decision-making process of attending the meeting itself; but
- b. Fully support the video recording and publication of all public meetings ASAP (certainly within two days) of the meeting having concluded.

4.3 Preferential voting:

a. Would overly complicate and potentially open to political manipulation what should be a simple, grass-roots process. WA has more LGAs than any other state; preferential voting will be ludicrous in many smaller LGAs, a number of which have fewer than 500 residents. Agree with CEO comment – not broken, don't fix.

4.4 Public vote for mayor or president

a. Strongly support implementation of this across the state. Direct election is more democratic, and provides checks and balances against cliques.

4.8 Candidate profiles

a. Ability to provide longer profiles (not too long!!!) would increase the amount of information available to electors

5.4 Superannuation

a. Strongly oppose superannuation for elected members. Elected members are not employees, and can already divert their allowances as superannuation.

5.5 Education allowances

a. Expanded focus here from training to education is supported. Tertiary-level education (as opposed to training) specifically related to local government should be encouraged, and if possible budgeted for.

6.4 Reporting of credit card statements

a. Agree with comment by Manager, Finance here that disclosure of credit card transactions (as currently occurs at CoA) rather than statements themselves is preferable.

6.6 Audit committees

a. Strongly oppose appointment of independent members (especially as chair) to audit committees. Overly bureaucratic, and waters down responsibility entrusted by electors in the members they elect.

Councillor Chris Thomson City of Albany