

Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
1.1 Early Intervention Powers			
 The Act provides the means to regulate the conduct of local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to: Suspend or dismiss councils Appoint Commissioners Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors. The Act also provides the Director General with the power to: Conduct Authorised Inquiries Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act. Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively slow response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are currently the only significant tool for addressing significant issues within a local government. The Panel Report, City of Perth Inquiry, and the Select Committee Report made various recommendations related to the establishment of a specific office for local government oversight. 	 (the Inspector), supported by an Office of the Local Government Inspector (the Inspectorate). The Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members. The Inspector would oversee complaints relating to local government CEOs. Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints. The Inspector would have powers of a standing inquiry, able to investigate and intervene in any local government where potential issues are identified. The Inspector would have the authority to assess, triage, refer, investigate, or close complaints, having regard to various public interest criteria – considering laws such as the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003, the Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984, the Building Act 2011, and other legislation. The Inspector would have powers to implement minor penalties for less serious breaches of the Act, with an appeal mechanism. The Inspector would also have the power to order a local government to address non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. The Inspector would be supported by a panel of Local Government Monitors (see item 1.2). The existing Local Government Standards Panel would be replaced with a new Conduct Panel (see item 1.3). Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4). These reforms would be supported by new powers to more quickly resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6). 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Items 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 generally align with WALGA Advocacy Position 2.6.8 - 'Establish Office of Independent Assessor' The Local Government sector supports: 1. Establishing an Office of the Independent Assessor to replace the Standards Panel to provide an independent body to receive, investigate and assess complaints against Elected Members and undertake inquiries. 2. Remove the CEO from being involved in processing complaints. 3. That an early intervention framework of monitoring to support Local Governments be provided with any associated costs to be the responsibility of the State Government. 4. An external oversight model for local level behavioural complaints made under Council Member, Committee Member and Candidate Codes of Conduct, that is closely aligned to the Victorian Councillor Complaints Framework. Comment The Local Government sector is in favour of early intervention and a swift response to potentially disruptive or dysfunctional behaviours. The Proposed Reforms state 'Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints' and therefore do not go as far as the Sector's recent request for an external oversight model for the independent assessment of local level complaints (State Council Res: 264.5/2021 — September 2021). However this will be mitigated with the Inspector able to respond to a Local Government having unresolved matters by appointing a monitor to assist the Local Government. It is expected the Local Government Inspector would be funded by the State Government, however it is noted that the cost of the Local Government Monitors and the Conduct Panel would be borne by the Local Government concerned. Recommendation 1. Support the proposed reforms as they align with the sectors position on external oversight and support. 2. Request the Minister to explore alternate mechanisms for resolving local level complaints.	The City supports the concept of external oversight and early intervention where potential issues are identified, however the proposed reforms should include clearly defined roles and responsibilities particularly with respect to the Inspector and Local Government Monitors. Consideration will also need to be given to the appropriateness of the skills and experience required to undertake these newly established roles, and to ensuring that the Inspectorate's Office and the Panel of Local Government Monitors are sufficiently resourced to provide adequate support to the Local Government sector in Western Australia. The proposed reforms should also include definitions of 'minor' and 'serious' complaints to ensure that Local Governments' have a clear understanding of their role and responsibilities when dealing with behavioural complaints. The strengthening of penalties for breaches of the Local Government Act and Regulations is also supported, and the review should ensure that penalties act as a true deterrent for non-compliance. Additionally, consideration should be given to the introduction of new penalties where Local Governments fail to comply with a direction or decision of the Inspector or Local Government Monitors to ensure that decisions made by external oversight bodies are observed and respected.



CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
1.2 Local Government Monitors			
 There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for pre-qualified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases. 	 Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems. The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify blame or collect evidence. Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as: Experienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs - to act as mentors and facilitators Dispute resolution experts - to address the breakdown of professional working relationships Certified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists - to assist with financial management and reporting issues Governance specialists and lawyers - to assist councils resolve legal issues HR and procurement experts - to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction. Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors. Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose. Monitor Case Study 1 - Financial Management The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the Local Government Act 1995. Upon 	WALGA Submission Refer item 1.1	No comment
	initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial management in local government. The Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.		
	Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution		
	The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council.		
	The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working relationship based on the council's code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.		



CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
1.3 Conduct Panel			
 The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour. Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed. 	 Government Conduct Panel. The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. 	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports: 1. A streamlined process whereby all complaints are referred to the Director General in the first instance. WALGA Submission Refer item 1.1	No comment
1.4 Review of Penalties			
There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non-compliance with the Local Government Act.	 Penalties for breaching the Local Government Act are proposed to be strengthened. It is proposed that the suspension of councillors (for up to three months) is established as the main penalty where a councillor breaches the Local Government Act or Regulations on more than one occasion. Councillors who are disqualified would not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances. They will also not be able to attend meetings, or use their official office (such as their title or council email address). It is proposed that a councillor who is suspended multiple times may become disqualified from office. Councillors who do not complete mandatory training within a certain timeframe will also not be able to receive sitting fees or allowances. 	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports the following offences to be included in an infringement notice scheme: 2. Failure to vote during a council or committee meeting; 3. Failure to lodge a primary return by the required date; 4. Failure to lodge an annual return by the required date; 5. Disclosing information about a Serious or Minor Breach Complaint before the matter is determined; 6. Giving false or misleading information in a Serious or Minor Breach Complaint; 7. Failing to comply with a notice from the Director General or Minister to provide information; WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Items 1.4 and 1.5 expand upon Advocacy Position 2.6.9 - 'Stand Down Proposal' WALGA supports, in principle, a proposal for an individual elected member to be 'stood down' from their duties when they are under investigation, have been charged, or when their continued presence prevents Council from properly discharging its functions or affects the Council's reputation, subject to further policy development work being undertaken. Further policy development of the Stand Down Provisions must involve active consultation with WALGA and specific consideration of the following issues of concern to the Sector: 1. That the Department of Local Government endeavour to	The City of Swan supports the following offences to be included in an infringement notice scheme: 1. Giving false or misleading information to community members for the purpose of making a point or promoting a particular issue 2. Creating fake Facebook pages for the purpose of making derogatory comments regarding fellow Councillors



CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
		ensure established principles of natural justice and procedural fairness are embodied in all aspects of the proposed Stand Down Provisions; and 2. That activities associated with the term 'disruptive behaviour', presented as reason to stand down a defined Elected Member on the basis their continued presence may make a Council unworkable, are thoroughly examined and clearly identified to ensure there is awareness, consistency and opportunity for avoidance. Comment The Local Government sector has long-standing advocacy positions supporting stronger penalties as a deterrent to disruptive Council Member behaviours. Clear guidance will be required to ensure there is consistent application of the power given to Presiding Members. Recommendation Supported	
1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions			
 Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings. 	Western Australia (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1).		The City generally supports the introduction of measures to deter disruptive behaviours that interrupt meeting proceedings, and agrees with the WALGA recommendation that clear guidance will be required to ensure there is consistent application of the power given to the Presiding Member. There is however, some level of concern with respect to the appropriateness of the 'red card' language used to describe the Presiding Members' power to control disruptive behaviour, and the City recommends that a review of the terminology be undertaken. There is also concern that the 'red card' could be used by a Presiding Member who does not like the line of questioning by a particular Councillor to silence that Councillor
1.6 Vexatious Complaint Referrals			
 No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings. 	 Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local government's operations. Local governments should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. 		The City agrees with the proposal however, it is important that the Inspectorate also has the power to investigate the reasonableness of instances when a CEO has determined a ratepayer to be vexatious.



CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
	It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious.		
1.7 Minor Other Reforms			
 Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector. 	governments are being considered. • For example, one option being considered is the potential use of		The City agrees with the Inspector having the power to issue notices to individual local governments to rectify a non-compliance. Guidance notes are supported and should be a minimum standard to be met.



Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing Consistency and Simplicity

provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs.	Amendments are proposed to encourage and enable local governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior	WALGA Submission	
provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs.	governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to	WALCA Submission	
having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing.	employees. Local governments in bands 2, 3 or 4 would be able to appoint a shared CEO at up to two salary bands above the highest band. For example, a band 3 and a band 4 council sharing a CEO could remunerate to the level of band 1.	Current Local Government Position Item 2.1 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6 – Local Government Legislation – 'Avoid red tape and 'de-clutter' the extensive regulatory regime that underpins the Local Government Act' and Advocacy Position 2.3.1 - 'Regional Collaboration'. Local Governments should be empowered to form single and joint subsidiaries, and beneficial enterprises. In addition, compliance requirements of Regional Councils should be reviewed and reduced. Comment The proposed reforms will rely upon statutory provisions that enable and enhance regional collaboration. Recent overregulation of Regional Subsidiaries in 2016 resulted in no subsidiaries being formed since that time. Recommendation	The City supports WALGA's submission however, consideration should be given to the requirement for Regional Councils to sign a Memorandum of Understanding to formalise Resource Sharing arrangements. The City does not support the proposed salary bands of a joint CEO.
2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers		Supported	
 Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences. This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the 	It is proposed to amend the <i>Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 1996</i> to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads. A Crossover Working Group has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and DLGSC to inform this. The DLGSC will work with the sector to develop standardised design and construction standards.		The City can see benefits in the standardisation of crossovers and is generally supportive. It is important that all local governments are consulted and consideration is given across different areas; i.e. residential, industrial, rural and heritage areas.
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions			
very limited provisions to allow for innovations	New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> , for: o Short-term trials and pilot projects o Urgent responses to emergencies.	will apply innovative solutions to complex and emerging	The introduction of exemptions from certain requirements of the Act is supported, particularly where a Local Government has a response role during an emergency situation which affects the municipality, or wider Local Government area. The Innovation Provisions should also consider the reduction of requirements, or exemptions for Regional Councils to ensure that new ideas and concepts can be fully explored to meet the expectations and needs of their communities.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
2.4 Streamline Local Laws			
 Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. The review of local laws (especially when they are standard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders. 	 the local government every 15 years. Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable. 	WALGA Submission	the changes in Health legislation as there are aspects of
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small Busines	s and Community Events		
Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities.		WALGA Submission See item 2.4	The City generally supports the introduction of simplified approval provisions for Small Business and Community Events in order to regulate these processes across Local Government areas, however implementing a standardised approach may be difficult given the diversity of local governments. With respect to the running of community events, guidelines would need to be produced to ensure that community events are safe, and that risks to the public and Council are appropriately mitigated to ensure that Council is not exposed to unnecessary liability.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedures, Include			
 Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local laws. The Local Government Act 1995 and regulations require local governments to allocate time at meetings for questions from the public. Inconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints. 	 To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State. Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally. Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions. 	1. Alternative ways for the public to ask questions	The City supports a Model Local Law relating to Standing Orders as a minimum standard. However, it is important for individual local governments to retain flexibility to allow adaptability to specific needs, particularly relating to public question time.
2.7 Regional Subsidiaries			
 Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017. So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed. 	Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to: Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds Where appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk Ensure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments.	Current Local Government Position Item 2.7 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.3.1 - 'Regional Collaboration' Local Governments should be empowered to form single and joint subsidiaries, and beneficial enterprises. In addition, compliance requirements of Regional Councils should be reviewed and reduced.	The City supports simplifying the regional subsidiary requirements to encourage increased resource sharing amongst regional local governments in particular.



PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
	the regional subsidiary charter, which can be adapted to suit the specific circumstances of each regional subsidiary. Recommendation	
	Supported	
	PROPOSED REFORMS	the regional subsidiary charter, which can be adapted to suit the specific circumstances of each regional subsidiary. Recommendation



Theme 3: Greater Transparency & Accountability

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Co	uncil Meetings		
 Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings. While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors¹ such as: Growth and development Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community Total expenditure Population Staffing levels. 	 meetings. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audiovisual equipment. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Several local governments already use platforms such as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Vimeo to stream and publish meeting recordings. 		The City already livestreams its Council meetings and provides an archival copy on its website for public viewing. The City supports submitting recordings of all confidential items to the DLGSC for archiving
3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minutes			
 A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency. 	is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber.		The City already records names of Councillors and whether they voted for or against a motion. Leave of absence and Councillors not present for a particular vote is captured in the minutes and can be easily included with the Council resolution.

¹ See page 3 of the <u>2018 Salaries and Allowance Tribunal Determination</u>



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that m			
 The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation. 	 Recognising the importance of open and transparent decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific circumstances. It is proposed to make the Act more specific in prescribing items that may be confidential, and items that should remain open to the public. Items not prescribed as being confidential could still be held as confidential items only with the prior written consent of the Inspector. All confidential items would be required to be audio recorded, with those recordings submitted to the DLGSC. 	There is currently no advocacy position in relation to Item 3.3.	The City is committed to open and transparent decision-making, and supports the introduction of provisions that clearly prescribe items that may be considered as confidential business, and those which should remain open to the public. An approval process administered by the Inspector for items not prescribed as being confidential would need to incorporate appropriate time frames for decisions on matters that may be of an urgent nature. A guideline relating to how local governments are to deal with confidential resolutions to ensure consistency across all local governments would be beneficial.
3.4 Additional Online Registers			
 Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online. Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain material in annual reports. Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in Theme 6. 	 It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included. The following new registers, each updated quarterly, are proposed: Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-in-lieu for public open space and car parking Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000. 	Comment This proposal follows recent Act amendments that ensure a range of information is published on Local Government websites. WALGA has sought clarity that the contracts register excludes contracts of employment. Recommendation	The City generally supports the introduction of additional online registers being made available to the public which are easily accessible. There is a degree of concern with regard to the increased administrative resource costs associated with centralisation of information, particularly where the information may already be publicly available via another mechanism or administrative process.
3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) be Published			
 It is a requirement of the Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. 	 To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position There is currently no advocacy position in relation to Item 3.5. Comment In principle, this proposal has some merit and would be particularly effective if all CEO KPIs consistently reflect	At the City of Swan, the CEO's Key Performance Indicators form part of the Corporate Business Plan and are generally included in this document. They are also made available on the City's website, following adoption by the Council. The City does not support a requirement to publish the results of the CEO's performance review. This is a HR



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties.	may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs).	Strategic Community Plans and Corporate Business Plans of Local Governments, together with KPIs reflective of the CEO's statutory functions under Section 5.41 of the Act. This approach would inform the community of the CEO's performance related to the strategic direction and operational function of the Local Government. In practice, the drafting of statutory provisions will require sensitive consideration of certain KPIs i.e. those relating to issues affecting the workplace or identified risk-based concerns, to reflect the way Audit Committees currently deal with some internal control, risk and legislative compliance issues confidentially. This approach will protect the interests of Local Governments and other parties associated with such KPIs. It would be prudent for exemptions to be provided, based on matters of confidentiality. The proposed reforms and recent Act amendments signal a clear intent to permit closer community involvement and scrutiny of Local Government. However, negative consequences are likely if Local Government Council's responsibility as the employing authority of the CEO became blurred due to perceived community entitlement to comment, question and influence KPIs and the performance review process. Additionally, the publication of CEO KPI's will elevate this employment position to a high degree of public scrutiny seldom evident in the public or private sector, if at all. It is worth investigating whether the proposed reforms considered whether this factor could impact on the recruitment of CEO's, particularly from outside the Local Government sector. The results of performance reviews should be confidential information between the employer and employee and should not be published and should remain within the confidential human resource records of the organisation. Recommendation 1. Conditionally Support the reporting of CEO KPIs that are consistent with the strategic direction and operational function of the Local Government, subject to exemptions for publishing KPI's of a confidenti	matter between an employer and its employee and should remain confidential.



Theme 4: Stronger Local Democracy and Community Engagement

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement			
 There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy. Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement for local governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community. A model Charter would be published to assist local governments who wish to adopt a standard form. 	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports: 1. Communication requirements that are non-prescriptive, such as; a. A mandatory requirement for a communication or engagement charter or policy, the content of which is determined by the local government based on best practice. b. State government provision of non-mandatory guidelines, templates and information designed to assist local governments in meeting requirements. 2. State government auditing for compliance and appropriate penalties for non-compliance. WALGA Submission Many Local Governments have already developed stakeholder engagement charters, or similar engagement strategies, that reflect their unique communities of interest. The development of guidance by the DLGSC, based on standards such as the International Standard for Public Participation practice, is supported in favour of taking a prescriptive approach or conducting a survey for the sake of a survey. Recommendation Supported	Further to the City's previous submission, the requirement to adopt a Community and Stakeholder Engagement Charter is supported. In addition to a Model Charter, the City would further support the provision of non-mandatory guidelines, templates and information designed to assist local governments in meeting requirements. The reforms should also consider State government auditing of charters for compliance and appropriate penalties for non-compliance.
4.2 Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys (Band 1 a	and 2 local governments only)		
	It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey.	WALGA Submission Item 4.2 has potential to provide benchmarking of community satisfaction levels across Band 1 and 2 Local Governments.	Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys would provide a vital interface between Councils and their communities, by asking the opinions of local people about the place they live, work and play. Surveys could provide valuable insight into the community's views and provide confidence for councils in their efforts and abilities on matters such as: • value for money in services and infrastructure • community consultation and engagement • decisions made in the interest of the community • customer service, local infrastructure, facilities, • services and • overall council direction



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
			However, to ensure that results of councils' overall performance can be used as an accurate benchmarking tool against statewide and council band group results, Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys would best be conducted by the DLGSC.
			Results could then be published on the Department's website with results of all previous surveys available. This would provide councils with a long-term measure of how they are performing, and will assist them to continue to deliver services, projects and infrastructure that meets the needs of their communities.
4.3 Introduction of Preferential Voting			
 The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preference. The candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a majority. Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice. 	replace the current first past the post system in local government elections. In preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferences. Preferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in other states). This provides voters with more choice and control over who they elect.	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports the continuation of first past the post voting. While this may be inconsistent with State and Federal voting, 'first past the post' is simple, easily understood by voters, and easier to administer and count. The City does not support preferential voting, where voters are forced to express a preference for candidates that they may not wish to support or have no knowledge of. If some form of preferential voting is to be implemented, the City would prefer optional preferential voting, allowing voters to choose which candidates to indicate a preference for. WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Item 4.3 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.5.1 – 'First Past the Post voting system' The Local Government sector supports: 1. Four year terms with a two year spill 2. Greater participation in Local Government elections 3. The option to hold elections through: • Online voting • Postal voting, and • In-person voting 4. Voting at Local Government elections to be voluntary 5. The first past the post method of counting votes Comment It should be noted that the sector's advocacy against compulsory voting and "All in All out" 4 year terms has been successful and these items are not included in the reform proposals. The introduction of preferential voting will be a return to the system of voting prior to the Local Government Act 1995. The	The City does not support preferential voting, where voters are forced to express a preference for candidates that they may not wish to support, or have no knowledge of. If some form of preferential voting is to be implemented, the City would prefer optional preferential voting, allowing voters to choose which candidates to indicate a preference for. It is the City's view that elections must have a high level of integrity to ensure public confidence in the outcome. The introduction of preferential voting would require significantly more staff resources, particularly during the vote count and therefore the local government election process should be administered entirely by the WAEC, and this aligns with the LG Review Panel's final report. A preferential voting system could result in local government election campaigns becoming politicised and encourages candidates to form alliances in order to secure their support for the distribution of preferences.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
		Local Government Advisory Board reported on voting systems in 2006 ('Local Government Structural Reform in Western Australia: Ensuring the Future Sustainability of Communities') and provided the following comments in support of both first past the post voting and preferential voting:	
		'Comments in support of retaining first past the post include:	
		Quick to count. Preferential voting is time consuming to count.	
		Easily understood.	
		Removes politics out of campaigning. Preferential will encourage alliances formed for the distribution of preferences and party politics into local government.	
		Preferential voting allows election rigging through alliances or 'dummy' candidates.	
		• In a preferential system, the person that receives the highest number of first preference votes does not necessarily get elected.'	
		'Comments in support of replacing first past the post include:	
		Preferential voting is more democratic and removes an area of confusion.	
		Preferential voting ensures that the most popular candidates are elected who best reflect the will of the voters.	
		Preferential system should be introduced. In FPP elections, candidates work together to get votes for each other. Preferential would make it more difficult for this practice to take place.	
		• FPP does not adequately reflect the wishes of electors when there are three candidates or more.	
		FPP is unsuitable when there is more than one vacancy.	
		Allows for a greater representation from a range of interest groups and prevents domination of elections by mainstream party politics.'	
		The Sector supports first past the post voting for its simplicity and fundamental apolitical nature, therefore the proposed reforms are not supported. Feedback is sought to ensure the advocacy position for first past the post elections remains the preferred option.	
		Recommendation	
		Not currently supported - Local Government feedback requested	



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and Presid			
The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: by the electors of the district through a public vote; or by the council as a resolution at a council meeting.	 Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communities. Band 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4. Accordingly, it is proposed that the Mayor or President for all band 1 and 2 councils is to be elected through a vote of the electors of the district. Councils in bands 3 and 4 would retain the current system. A number of Band 1 and Band 2 councils have already moved towards Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President in recent years, including City of Stirling and City of Rockingham. 	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports: The City supports the existing provisions which allow the Council to determine the method of electing the Mayor or President. The City considers that the ability of the Councillors to elect the Mayor is consistent with the Westminster system which allows political parties to elect their leaders. It is essential that the Mayor/President has the support of the Council. WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Item 4.4 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.5.2 - 'Election of Mayors and Presidents be at the discretion of Local Government.' Local Governments should determine whether their Mayor or President will be elected by the Council or elected by the community. Comment There are 43 Band 1 and 2 Local Governments with 22 popularly electing the Mayor or President: Band 1 – 15, Band 2 - 7 The remaining 21 Local Governments have a Council-elected Mayor or President. The cited examples of the City of Rockingham and City of Stirling electors determining by referendum to change the process for electing the Mayor are examples of the current system working as intended. There is no evidence of elector support for uniform direct election of Mayors. Recommendation Not currently supported - Local Government feedback requested	government experience, and the ability to gain the support of other elected members in order to be an effective leader. Therefore, regular and effective review of the performance of a publicly elected Mayor would be required. Reforms should consider the introduction of a prescribed performance monitoring process to be facilitated by one of the early intervention and oversight bodies. Consideration could also be given to retaining the current provisions with an additional requirement for those local governments that do not have a popularly elected Mayor to undertake a referendum every eight years to determine the wishes of its electors.
4.5 Tiered Limits on the Number of Councillors			
 The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister. The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness. 	 It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: For a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Item 4.5 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.5.1 – 'Councils consist of between six and 15 (including the Mayor/President)' Local Governments being enabled to determine the number of	The City generally supports this approach. Consideration could also be given to basing the number of councillors by bands. This would take into account more than just population and would better reflect those local governments with smaller populations that are more diverse and/or have increased responsibilities, etc. For example:



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
	 population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor). 	Elected Members required on the Council between six and 15 (including the Mayor/President) Comment The proposed reform to restrict Local Governments with populations under 5,000 to 5 Council Members does not reflect the varied communities of interest within this grouping. Some Local Governments are essentially regional centres such as the Shires of Katanning (9), Dandaragan (9), Merredin (9), Moora (9) and Northampton (9) (current Councillor numbers bracketed). Local Governments such as the Shire of Ngaanyatjarraku (9) manage substantial land areas, manage isolated communities such as the Shire of Meekatharra (7) and culturally diverse communities such as the Shire of Christmas Island (9). Some Local Governments with populations up to 5,000 warrant a greater number of Councillors to effectively share the representative role that Council Members play within their communities. The additional proposed reforms in population categories over 5,000 generally reflect the current Councillor numbers. Recommendation Recommend 5 to 7 Council Members for populations up to 5,000 and support the remaining proposed reforms.	Band 4 - five councillors Band 3 - five to seven councillors Band 2 - seven to eleven councillors Band 1 - eleven to fifteen councillors These numbers include the Mayor/President.
4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Band 3 and	4 Councils only)	to 3,000 and support the remaining proposed reforms.	
 A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. 	**	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports: 1. Setting a minimum population threshold before a local government can introduce a ward system The City of Swan does not support: 1. Setting a population threshold where a local government must be divided into wards 2. The Electoral Commissioner empowered to oversee the establishment and modification of ward boundaries WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to this item Comment The proposed reform to discontinue wards in Band 3 and 4 Local Governments brings alignment with the majority and	The City disagrees with this proposal. Band 3 and 4 local governments that want to eliminate the requirement to conduct ward boundary reviews can already do so by agreeing to abolish.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
		to conduct 8 year ward reviews or make representation to the Local Government Advisory Board to revert to a no wards system. Recommendation Supported	
4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease Requirem	ents for Candidate and Voter Eligibility		
 A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district. A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors. 	 Reforms are proposed to prevent the use of "sham leases" in council elections. Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be able to vote or run as a candidate for council. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified sham leases as an issue. Electoral rules are proposed to be strengthened: A minimum lease period of 12 months will be required for anyone to register a person to vote or run for council. Home based businesses will not be eligible to register a person to vote or run for council, because any residents are already the eligible voter(s) for that address. Clarifying the minimum criteria for leases eligible to register a person to vote or run for council. The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered business (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases. The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors. 		The City supports reforms to prevent the use of 'sham leases' in council elections.
4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles	·		
Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words.	 Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodated. Longer candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles online. It is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when casting their vote. 	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports: 1. Expanding a candidate's profile with optional additional information to assist electors in making more informed decisions. 2. Requiring local governments to publish candidate profiles on their website during the election period - already implemented 3. Expanding information required on the candidate nomination form to assist with statistically measuring the	The City of Swan supports reforms regarding candidate profiles. Expanding a candidate's profile with optional additional information will assist electors in making more informed decisions.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
		diversity of nominees or council members and submit to the Department of Local Government	
		WALGA Submission	
		There is no advocacy position in relation to this item.	
		The proposed reform will improve and clarify election processes.	
4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms			
Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: The introduction of standard processes for vote re-counts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required) The introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls.		The City supports this proposal in principle. The City considers that the margin will need to be based on a suitable scale relevant to the number of votes/electors.



Theme 5: Clear Roles and Responsibilities

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
5.1 Introduce Principles in the Act			
 The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles 	It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) Community Engagement Financial Management.		The City supports the introduction of principles-based legislation which removes unnecessary regulatory and legislative prescription, and relies on principles that offer guidance on how key objectives should be pursued. This will allow local governments the flexibility to work towards the effective implementation of the principles within their own organisational context, and the ability to respond accordingly to new and changing situations and/or environments.
5.2 Greater Role Clarity			
 The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: govern the local government's affairs be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions. 	 The Local Government Act Review Panel recommended that roles and responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation. These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input. These roles would be further strengthened through Council Communications Agreements (see item 5.3). 		Clearly defined roles and responsibilities will be particularly crucial if band 1 and 2 councils must move to a mandatory publicly elected Mayor/President.
	 5.2.1 - Mayor or President Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 	Recommendation	The role, responsibilities and authority of the Mayor/President should be defined in detail to ensure that the role is suitably balanced and clearly understood. Provision should also be made for regular monitoring of performance, with the ability to intervene when issues are identified.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council is responsible for: Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council Providing a safe working environment for the CEO; Providing strategic direction to the CEO; Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government. 	Current Local Government Position Item 5.2 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.36 - 'Roles and Responsibilities' That clarification of roles and responsibilities for Mayors/ Presidents, Councillors and CEOs be reviewed to ensure that there is no ambiguity. Recommendation Supported	
	 5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council Applying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council Engaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public Developing and maintaining professional working relationships with all other councillors and the CEO Maintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government Facilitating public engagement with local government. It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or "President") and associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity. 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Item 5.2 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.36 - 'Roles and Responsibilities' That clarification of roles and responsibilities for Mayors/Presidents, Councillors and CEOs be reviewed to ensure that there is no ambiguity. Recommendation Supported	The City is concerned that the proposed role of the Elected Member (Councillor) Role is not appropriately aligned with the role of the CEO in respect to decision-making and advice provided. We refer to the following points: Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council Within this context, decision making should be balanced with the professional advice as outlined under the CEO role 5.2.4 – Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to allow council to perform its decision-making functions. The proposed role for elected members doesn't appear to require them to consider the professional advice or assistance obtained, and it is our view that there needs to be an equivalent link requiring elected members to consider that advice.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
	 The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council. To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for: Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to enable the council to perform its decision-making functions Facilitating the implementation of council decisions Ensuring functions and decisions lawfully delegated by council are managed prudently on behalf of the council Managing the effective delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government determined by the council Providing timely and accurate information and advice to all councillors in line with the Council Communications Agreement (see item 5.3) Overseeing the compliance of the operations of the local government with State and Federal legislation on behalf of the council Implementing and maintaining systems to enable effective planning, management, and reporting on behalf of the council. 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Item 5.2 aligns with Advocacy Position 2.6.36 - 'Roles and Responsibilities' That clarification of roles and responsibilities for Mayors/Presidents, Councillors and CEOs be reviewed to ensure that there is no ambiguity. Recommendation Supported	Refer to 5.2.3
5.3 Council Communication Agreements			
 The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions. The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments. 	Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided. • It is proposed that local governments will need to have Council Communications Agreements between the council and the CEO.	Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.3. Comment The availability of information not already in the public domain to Councillors under Section 5.92 of the Act can become contentious in the absence of a clear statement in support of the function the Council Member is performing. This can place CEO's in the invidious position of ruling on the availability of a record of the Local Government, when it is also their function under Section 5.41(h) of the Act to 'ensure that records and documents of the local government are properly kept for the purposes of this Act and any other written law'. Consistent availability of information motivates this proposed reform and it does not appear that individual Council Communication Agreements will be a means to that end. There is a better case for a uniform approach in the form of a regulated Agreement, in much the same way that the Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies	The City supports the introduction of a requirement for local governments to adopt a Communication Agreement. Consultation with appropriately experienced sector stakeholders would be beneficial in the development of a Model Agreement.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
		are based on provisions of the <i>Public Sector Management Act</i> 1994. Recommendation	
		Support a consistent, regulated Communications Agreement.	
5.4 Local Governments May Pay Superannua	tion Contributions for Elected Members		
 Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils. 	 through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.4. Comment WALGA was in the process of consulting with the sector when this reform was announced. The feedback to date from Local Governments varied. The proposed discretionary approach will permit Local Governments to exercise general competence powers to make their own determination on paying superannuation to Council Members. Recommendation Supported	Councillor feedback was mixed in response to WALGA's consultation on this topic. Prior to implementation, consideration should be given as to whether amounts paid to Councillors as allowances are in fact superable in terms of the relevant legislation (Super Guarantee Charge and Australian Taxation Office).
5.5 Local Governments May Establish Education	tion Allowances		
 Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. 	 Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members. Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors. 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Item 5.5 generally aligns with Advocacy Position 2.8 - Elected Member Training Support Local Governments being required to establish an Elected Member Training Policy to encourage training and include budgetary provision of funding for Elected Members; Comment The proposal augments recent Act amendments that require Local Governments to adopt a professional development policy for Council Members. Many Local Governments now budget for training requirements that align with the policy statement. Recommendation Supported	The City currently has a Councillor Professional Development and Training policy with an individual Councillor budget allocation for conference attendance as well as a general budget for Councillor training. The proposal is supported and can be easily incorporated into the existing policy which is a requirement for all local governments.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS		
5.6 Standardised Election Caretaker period	5.6 Standardised Election Caretaker period				
 There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of public confusion. 	, , ,	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan does not support: 1. A caretaker period which places a moratorium on major decisions. WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.6 Comment WALGA developed a template Caretaker Policy in 2017 on request for a consistent approach. There are no know instances where Caretaker Policy have led to unforeseen or unmanageable consequences impacting on decision-making functions. Recommendation Supported	The City considers that Local Governments should retain the autonomy to select their own independent person, with the capability and experience that meets their requirements. They should not be limited to a panel of pre-approved persons.		
5.7 Remove WALGA from the Act					
 The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation. 	WALGA not be constituted under the Local Government Act 1995.		The City supports this proposal.		
5.8 CEO Recruitment					
 Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government. 	 It is proposed that DLGSC establishes a panel of approved panel members to perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list. Councils will still be able to appoint people outside of the panel with the approval of the Inspector. 	WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 5.8. Comment The proposed reform augments the CEO Standards in relation to recruitment introduced in February 2021. Recommendation Supported	The City supports this proposal.		



Theme 6: Improved Financial Management and Reporting

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Fi			
The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information.	in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government.	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports the following matters in relation to the financial information contained in the annual report: 1. Local Governments continue to prepare annual financial reports in accordance with the legislation and accounting standards, 2. The annual report should have the option to present a concise financial report, 3. The information on employees should be contained in the annual financial report, 4. Employee payment disclosures should be on the basis of the value of the remuneration package starting from \$200,000, and 5. Local governments disclose the number of FTE's employed by the local government This would require a change to section 5.53 of the Act and the Regulations The present provisions of the Act at section 6.4 provide the framework for the preparation of financial reports. Most of the detail is included in the Finance Regulations. Recommendation 6 The City of Swan considers the provisions under this section adequate to meet the needs of the industry and the community. b) Annual Financial Report Section 5.53 provides for the annual report to include the annual financial reports are upward of 50 pages and contain some complex disclosures and specific information. It is important that this information continues to be prepared and audited. The reporting of financial information in the annual report could be reduced to better inform the community rather than mountains of information that adds little value. An opportunity exists to present financial information that better conveys the local government financial performance. WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Items 6.1 and 6.2 generally align with Advocacy Position 2.6 – Support a size and scale compliance regime and Advocacy	Tiered reporting requirements and the proposed introduction of standard financial statement templates is generally supported. Whilst the need for simplified reporting requirements for small LGA's is acknowledged, disparities in financial information could give rise to community confusion and perceptions of inequity.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
		Position 2.6.24 – Financial Management and Procurement.	
		The Local Government sector:	
		 Requests the Minister for Local Government to direct the Department of Local Government to prepare a Model set of Financial Statements and Annual Budget Statements for the Local Government sector, in consultation with the Office of the Auditor General. Requests the Department of Local Government to re-assess the amount of detail required to be included in annual financial reports, in particular for small and medium sized entities as suggested by the Office of Auditor General. Comment The Sector has a long-standing position for a broad review of	
		the financial management and reporting provisions of the Act, which remain largely unchanged since commencing in 1996.	
		Recommendation	
		Supported	
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Planning			
 Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments. 	Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making.	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports: 1. Any requirement for a local government rates and revenue strategy to be incorporated into an annual financial strategy. Transparency and accountability can be achieved by publishing this strategy, which includes any proposed rates and charges, twenty one (21) days prior to adoption by Council. WALGA Submission See item 6.1	The City supports changes to the financial planning framework to ensure that information is clear, transparent and easy to understand. The proposed introduction of a suite of simplified plans and templates will be beneficial for the sector, and the flexibility to make adaptations to ensure the plans adequately meet the needs of their community is also supported. Similarly, Service Plan templates should be kept simple, and should not include information that may be sensitive or confidential in nature. Due to the significance of the strategic and financial planning processes, and the public interest in such matters, communication requirements will need to be carefully considered prior to the implementation of these reforms to ensure all stakeholders are appropriately informed. Provision of guidance material or reference sheets released with the templates would greatly assist local government with this process. The City supports the release of information relating to rates and revenue, however the proposed information required to be included would be more appropriate as a 'Rates and Revenue Strategy' which would form part of an overall annual financial strategy, rather than as a stand-alone policy. The benefits of this approach would ensure the information is updated annually, maintaining its currency, accuracy and relevance. Transparency and accountability can be achieved by requiring local



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
	these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years A new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) – providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years) The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services or initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans added to the yearly budget if approved by council. This provides clear transparency for what the functions and initiatives of the local government cost to deliver. Templates will be available for use by local governments.		governments to publish the strategy on their website, which could be used as a basis for public consultation on the setting of rates.
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy			
 Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policy. Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise rates to cover unavoidable costs – especially for the repair of infrastructure. 	transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure.	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan supports: 1. Any requirement for a local government rates and revenue strategy to be incorporated into an annual financial strategy. Transparency and accountability can be achieved by publishing this strategy, which includes any proposed rates and charges, twenty one (21) days prior to adoption by Council. WALGA Submission Current Local Government Position Item 6.3 generally aligns with Advocacy Position 2.1.6 - Rate Setting and WALGA's Rate Setting Policy Statement. Councils' deliberative rate setting processes reference their Integrated Planning Framework — a thorough strategic, financial and asset management planning process — and draw upon the community's willingness and capacity to pay. Recommendation Supported	See item 6.2
6.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card Statements			
 No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds. 	 The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis. This provides oversight of incidental local government spending. 	WALGA Submission <u>Current Local Government Position</u> There is no advocacy position in relation to Item 6.4. Comment	An audit of 'Controls Over Corporate Credit Cards' was conducted by the WA Auditor General in 2018, which found reporting to Council of credit card expenditure was inconsistent. Regulation 13 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 requires local governments to present the details of all payments,



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
 6.5 Amended Financial Ratios Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statements. These are reported on the MyCouncil website. These ratios are intended to provide an 	Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC. The methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful.		including corporate credit card payment to Council on a monthly basis. Whilst the City is of the view the requirement to report credit card expenditure is already regulated, there is no opposition to this reform. The City supports easy to understand ratios and what they mean for the community.
indication of the financial health of every local government. 6.6 Audit Committees	15 Should that the results and additional and additional that and additional that are additional to the additional to the additional that are addi		
 Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management. 	 Audit Committee be required to be an independent person who is not on council or an employee of the local government. Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive risk management. To reduce costs, it is proposed that local governments should be able to establish shared Regional Audit Committees. 	Current Local Government Position Item 6.6 does not align with Advocacy Position 2.2.4 – Accountability and Audit That audit committees of Local Government, led and overseen by the Council, have a clearly defined role with an Elected Member majority and chair. Comment The Sector's view is well established, that the Council must maintain, and be seen by the community to have, majority involvement and investment in the purpose of an Audit Committee. There is sector support for some independent members on the Audit Committee, however not a majority. The dual effect of the proposed reform is to guarantee a place for a majority of independent persons on Audit Committees, with the additional requirement that an independent person. Chair this Committee. Presently, not all Local Government Audit Committees are able to include an independent person. This may be for a variety of reasons not least of which is a lack of suitable, available candidates with the required qualification, skill and experience. It would be counter-productive if the proposed reforms led to the appointment of unsuitable independent persons to a skills-based role. The concept of Regional Audit Committees has apparent merit in this case but there is no detail regarding practicalities; for example, is the Regional Audit Committee intended to include the same independent persons who will meet separately with each Local Government within the region? There is too little certainty that the imperative question of	directly with the Inspectorate.



CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	BACKGROUND	COMMENTS
		appropriate representation will be managed as a consequence of the proposed reforms for it to be supported. The proposal for the Audit Committees to also consider proactive risk management is supported.	
		Recommendation 1. Do not support majority independent members of the Audit Committee	
		2. Support Audit Committees of Local Government with an Elected Member majority including independent members, and to consider proactive risk management issues.	
6.7 Building Upgrade Finance			
 The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. 	Previous City of Swan Submission Stage 2 The City of Swan does not support: The use of local government financial resources to finance the private sector for private developments.	Further to the City's previous submission, it does not support this proposal.
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on Rates Notices			
 No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service). This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers. 		The City already separates the cost of its waste service on its rates notice.